

South Hams Development Management Committee



Title:	Agenda										
Date:	Wednesday, 8th July, 2020										
Time:	10.00 am										
Venue:	Via Skype										
Full Members:	<p style="text-align: center;">Chairman Cllr Brazil</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vice Chairman Cllr Foss</p> <p><i>Members:</i></p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 33%;">Cllr Brown</td> <td style="width: 33%;">Cllr Kemp</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Cllr Hodgson</td> <td>Cllr Long</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Cllr Holway</td> <td>Cllr Pannell</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Cllr Rowe</td> <td>Cllr Pringle</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Cllr Abbott</td> <td>Cllr Taylor</td> </tr> </table>	Cllr Brown	Cllr Kemp	Cllr Hodgson	Cllr Long	Cllr Holway	Cllr Pannell	Cllr Rowe	Cllr Pringle	Cllr Abbott	Cllr Taylor
Cllr Brown	Cllr Kemp										
Cllr Hodgson	Cllr Long										
Cllr Holway	Cllr Pannell										
Cllr Rowe	Cllr Pringle										
Cllr Abbott	Cllr Taylor										
Interests – Declaration and Restriction on Participation:	Members are reminded of their responsibility to declare any disclosable pecuniary interest not entered in the Authority's register or local non pecuniary interest which they have in any item of business on the agenda (subject to the exception for sensitive information) and to leave the meeting prior to discussion and voting on an item in which they have a disclosable pecuniary interest.										
Committee administrator:	Janice Young Specialist- Democratic Services 01803 861105										

1. Minutes

1 - 24

To approve as a correct record and authorise the Chairman to sign the minutes of the meeting of the Committee held on 11 March 2020;

2. Urgent Business

Brought forward at the discretion of the Chairman;

3. Division of Agenda

to consider whether the discussion of any item of business is likely to lead to the disclosure of exempt information;

4. Declarations of Interest

Members are invited to declare any personal or disclosable pecuniary interests, including the nature and extent of such interests they may have in any items to be considered at this meeting;

5. Public Participation

The Chairman to advise the Committee on any requests received from members of the public to address the meeting;

6. Planning Applications

25 - 60

To see Letters of Representation and further supplementary information relating to any of the Applications on the agenda, please select the following link and enter the relevant Planning Reference number:

<http://apps.southhams.gov.uk/PlanningSearchMVC/>

(a) APPLICATION:

2434/18/ARM

**"Allocated Site K5", Land at SX 729 440, West Alvington Hill, Kingsbridge
READVERTISEMENT (Revised Plans Received and Amended Description) –Application for approval of reserved matters (appearance, landscaping, layout and scale) for 53 no. dwellings and associated garages, infrastructure and landscaping following outline approval 28/0508/15/O for up**

**to 60 no. dwellings, 0.5 hectares
of employment land, 2no.
vehicular accesses, open space,
play provision and drainage.**

7. Planning Appeals Update

61 - 66

This page is intentionally left blank

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT
COMMITTEE HELD AT FOLLATON HOUSE, TOTNES, ON WEDNESDAY,
11 MARCH 2020**

Members in attendance			
* Denotes attendance			
∅ Denotes apologies			
*	Cllr V Abbott	*	Cllr K Kemp
*	Cllr J Brazil (Chairman)	*	Cllr M Long
*	Cllr D Brown	*	Cllr G Pannell
∅	Cllr R J Foss (Deputy Chair)	*	Cllr K Pringle
*	Cllr J M Hodgson	*	Cllr R Rowe
*	Cllr T R Holway	*	Cllr B Taylor
*	Cllr H Reeve (substituting for Cllr Foss)		

Other Members also in attendance and participating:
Cllrs H Bastone, and J A Pearce

Officers in attendance and participating:

Item No:	Application No:	Officers:
All agenda items		HOP Development Management; Planning Specialists; Deputy Monitoring Officer; and Specialist – Democratic Services

DM.55/19 MINUTES
The minutes of the meeting of the Committee held on 12th February 2020 were confirmed as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

DM.56/19 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST
Members and officers were invited to declare any interests in the items of business to be considered and the following were made:

Cllr G Pannell declared a personal interest in application **3216/19/FUL** as he was a lifetime Member of Ivybridge Rugby Club who may be a recipient of S106 monies linked to this application. He remained in the meeting and took part in the debate and vote thereon;

Cllr K Pringle declared a personal interest in application **3216/19/FUL** as her son played for Ivybridge Rugby Club who may be a recipient of S106 monies linked to this application. She remained in the meeting and took part in the debate and vote thereon;

Cllr H Reeve declared a personal interest in application **3216/19/FUL**, as the agent has been employed by Cllr Reeve in the past. Cllr Reeve remained in the meeting and took part in the debate and vote thereon;

Cllrs R Rowe and B Taylor declared a personal interest in application 3994/19/HHO, sited within the South Devon AONB, by virtue of being Members of the South Devon AONB Partnership Committee. The Councillors remained in the meeting and took part in the debate and vote thereon; and

Cllr J Hodgson declared a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest in application 3647/19/FUL by virtue of being the applicant. Cllr Hodgson left the room during consideration of the application.

DM.57/19 **PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**

The Chairman announced that a list of members of the public and town and parish council representatives, who had registered their wish to speak at the meeting, had been circulated.

DM.58/19 **PLANNING APPLICATIONS**

The Committee considered the details of the planning applications prepared by the Planning Case Officers as presented in the agenda papers, and considered also the comments of Town and Parish Councils, together with other representations received, which were listed within the presented agenda reports, and **RESOLVED** that:

a) 3964/18/HHO “The Boathouse”, South Town, Dartmouth

Town: Dartmouth

Householder application for construction of external access lift, associated bridge link and other external works

Case Officer Update: Two more objections received but no new, unconsidered reasons. Further to site visit, officer confirmed that the retaining wall does belong to South Hams District Council.

Speakers included: Objector – Mr John Langridge: Supporter – Mr Alex Bateman: Town Council representative – Cllr Dawn Shepherd: Ward Member – Cllr Hilary Bastone

Recommendation: Conditional approval

Committee Decision: Conditional approval

Conditions: 1. Standard time limit
2. Accord with plans
3. Construction Management Plan – prior to commencement

4. Details of lighting – prior to installation of lift car
5. Lift car to return to lower level after use
6. Details of gate/access – prior to installation
7. Details of materials/finishes – prior to installation
8. Any works to boundary wall to match existing wall

b) 3703/18/OPA

Land at SX 651560 – Filham, Ivybridge

Town: Ivybridge East & Ermington and Ugborough

Development: READVERTISEMENT (Revised Plans Received) Hybrid application for the erection of up to 200 dwellings, comprising the following: Phase 1 – detailed application for the erection of 94 dwellings (C3), formation of access with Exeter Road (B2131), new spine road, internal roads and footpaths, surface water infiltration ponds, landscaping, ground and utilities works and associated infrastructure; and Phase 2 - outline application for up to 106 dwellings (C3) with all matters reserved except for access; strategic landscaping, surface water drainage works, highway works and diversions and associated infrastructure.

Case Officer Update: Nothing found during the phase one archaeological site investigation. Application was withdrawn from the last Development Management Committee meeting to allow clarification of the David's Lane crossing point from phase one to phase two, which has now been done. The CCG (Clinical Commissioning Group) has requested £80,925 for a health contribution to meet increased demand following site occupation which has resulted in the addition of another condition. Two more LORs (letters of representation) have been received including one from Ivybridge Health Centre. There were several corrections to the report: Condition 31 listed on page 27 at the start of the report refers to reserved matters in error and should instead be "Development in accordance with the submitted Energy Strategy Statement". Where written in full on page 61 at the end of the report, this condition should also be numbered 31. In the proposal description on page 31 the numbers of dwellings referred to for each phase should be updated to read that Phase 1 is for 94 dwellings and Phase 2 for the remaining 106 dwellings which totals the 200 across the whole site which the application seeks. Condition 9 of full consent shall be amended to remove the reference to need to include additional pathways. Conditions relating to drainage and phasing apply. Affordable Homes Specialist is now happy with the housing mix and locations within the site.

Plans for biodiversity must involve Members when they are being drawn up. Conditions have been amended as set out below.

Speakers included: Supporter – Mr Robin Upton: Ward Members – Cllrs Victor Abbott, Karen Pringle, and Tom Holway

Recommendation: Subject to the results of the ongoing archaeological site investigations and pending any updated advice from Devon County Council Historic Environment Team that the Committee delegate to HoP Lead Development Management, in conjunction with Chairman to conditionally grant planning permission, subject to a Section 106 legal obligation.

Committee Decision: Conditional approval

Conditions:

This permission is a hybrid permission. In the interests of clarity this decision notice takes the format that the first portion of the conditions (condition numbers 1-34) relate to the outline element only, and the latter portion (condition numbers 35- 50) relate to the full element only. Where conditions are common to both elements, they are repeated.

CONDITIONS FOR THE OUTLINE ELEMENT OF THE APPLICATION:

1. No development shall commence on site until details of the following matters (in respect of which approval is expressly reserved) have been submitted to, and approved in writing by, the Local Planning Authority:
 - (a) The scale of the development;
 - (b) The layout of the development;
 - (c) The external appearance of the development;
 - (d) The landscaping of the site.The development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.
2. In the case of any matter reserved by this permission application for approval of any reserved matter must be made not later than the expiration of three years beginning with the date of grant of outline planning permission. The development to which this permission relates must be begun not later than whichever is the later of the following dates:
 - (i) the expiration of three years from the date of the grant of outline planning permission; or if later
 - (ii) the expiration of two years from the final approval of the reserved matters or, in the case of approval on different dates, the final approval of the last such matter to be approved.
3. The development hereby approved shall not be carried out otherwise than in accordance with a phasing programme which shall previously have been submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority in writing.
4. The details hereby approved shall in all respects accord strictly with drawings numbers 04957.TRRP.6.11.18, Topographical Survey Sheets 1 and 2, A106760/CP-01.G, SLP-01.A, and SLP-02.A, received on 26th

November 2018, drawing numbers CMP-02.K, received on 11th November 2019, drawing number A.106760.LA100.B, received on 23rd December 2019, and the following documents: Planning Statement (dated October 2018), Planning Statement Addendum (dated November 2019), Design & Access Statement (parts 1, 2, and 3, dated October 2018), Flood Risk Assessment & Drainage Strategy (dated October 2018), Transport Assessment (parts 1 and 2, dated October 2018), Ecological Impact Assessment (dated October 2018), Landscape & Visual Assessment (dated October 2018), Noise Assessment (dated October 2018), Air Quality Assessment (dated October 2018), Lighting Assessment (dated October 2018), Archaeological and Heritage Assessment (dated October 2018), Arboricultural Impact Assessment (dated October 2018), Energy Strategy Statement (version 1, dated August 2019), NDSS Schedule (dated September 2019), LLFA & Highway Consultation Response (dated 29th October 2019), Noise Comments Response (dated 8th November 2019), Response to Highways England (dated 3rd January and 13th January 2020 respectively).

5. Accompanying any reserved matters application for landscaping shall be details of the play provision, including details of equipment and location of benches and bins in the public open space.
6. The Reserved Matters application for layout shall be accompanied by full details of proposed electric vehicle charging points to be provided. These details shall include the location, number and power rating of the charging points. The electric car charging provision shall accord with good practice guidance on mitigating air quality impacts from developments produced by the Institute of Air Quality Management. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the agreed details and shall be made available for use prior to the first occupation of the building(s) to which they relate, and retained thereafter as such.
7. **PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT:** Prior to the commencement of the development hereby permitted, an acoustic assessment including full details of the design, construction and future maintenance of any acoustic mitigation shall be submitted to and agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority (in consultation with Highways England).
8. Prior to occupation of any part of the development, a revised noise mitigation scheme to demonstrate compliance of the development with BS8233:2014 and relevant World Health Organisation noise levels for the prevention of community annoyance shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The scheme shall include an assessment in accordance with BS4142:2014 in order to evaluate the noise impact on future dwellings from operations and activities in association with the pumping station(s). The noise assessment shall be undertaken by an acoustic consultant with membership of a relevant professional body (e.g. Institute of Acoustics). All mitigation works, as approved, shall be completed prior to the occupation of any dwellings.
9. **PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT:** Prior to the commencement of the development hereby permitted, a Landscaping Plan including a Planting Schedule shall be submitted to and agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority (in consultation with Highways England). All works and future maintenance shall be carried out in accordance with the Plan.

10. PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT: Prior to the commencement of the development hereby permitted, a detailed Boundary Treatment Plan for the site boundary with the A38 trunk road shall be submitted to and agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority (in consultation with Highways England).
11. PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT: Prior to the commencement of development, a revised Tree Protection Plan showing a road layout which avoids tree T961, an updated Arboricultural Impact Assessment and details of the planting of a succession oak shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.
12. With each subsequent Reserved Matters application under this outline consent, full landscape details (the Landscape Scheme) for the site area covered by that application shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The Landscape Scheme shall be prepared by an appropriately qualified professional and shall include:
 - arrangements for stripping, storage and re-use of top soil;
 - arrangements for importation of top soil, including volume, source, quality, depth and areas to be treated;
 - details, including design and materials, of ancillary structures such as bin stores and signage;
 - details of lighting including function, location, design and intensity;
 - materials, heights and details of fencing and other boundary treatments;
 - materials, heights, levels and extent of hard landscape treatment, including access points, tracks, roads and any hardstanding areas;
 - details of new ground profiles including retaining bunds and banks;
 - the location, number, species, density, form and size of proposed tree, hedge and shrub planting;
 - the method of planting, establishment and protection of tree, hedge and shrub planting;
 - maintenance schedules for the establishment of new planting and its ongoing management;All elements of the Landscape Scheme shall be implemented in the first planting season following reasonable completion of the development, or first use of the building (whichever is sooner) and maintained in accordance with the approved details unless otherwise agreed in writing by the local planning authority. All work shall be completed in accordance with the timetable agreed in writing.
13. The Reserved Matters application(s) for layout and/or scale and/or external appearance shall be accompanied by details of housing mix, type and size across both open market and affordable housing and details of affordable housing tenure shall also be submitted. Development shall take place in accordance with the approved details.
14. The Reserved Matters application(s) for layout shall include a scheme to demonstrate how the requirements of JLP policy DEV32: Delivering Low Carbon Development will be delivered, the details of which shall be approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Development shall take place in accordance with the approved details prior to the occupation of the dwelling to which they relate and shall be retained and maintained for the lifetime of the development.

15. PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT: Prior to commencement of development, a site related employment and skills plans to support local employment and skills in the construction industry shall be submitted to, and agreed in writing by, the Local Planning Authority. The agreed plan shall be implemented in accordance with a timetable to be included in the plan.
16. All dwellings shall meet, as a minimum, National Space Standards.
17. Any reserved matters application for layout and/or scale and/or external appearance shall demonstrate that at least 20 percent of dwellings meet national standards for accessibility and adaptability (Category M4(2) Building Regulations) and that at least 2 percent meet national standards for wheelchair user homes (Category M4(3) of Building Regulations).
18. PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT: Prior to the commencement of the development, a Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The LEMP shall be based upon an up to date ecological survey of the site and buildings and will include mitigation measures as set out in the existing ecological survey which shall be integrated with the detailed landscape scheme to be submitted as part of the reserved matters. The LEMP shall include details of habitat creation, management and maintenance and protected species mitigation, compensation and enhancement measures, covering construction and post construction phases.
19. The impact avoidance and mitigation measures for protected species detailed in the Ecological Assessment (Ecology Solutions, October 2018) shall be adhered to at all times.
20. There shall be no removal of Dormouse habitat unless the Local Planning Authority has first been provided with and acknowledged receipt of a copy of the licence issued by Natural England permitting those works or alternatively a statement from the licensed bat ecologist to the effect that a licence is not needed.
21. PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT: No development shall take place until a detailed Construction Environment Management Plan (CEMP) has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. This Plan shall include details of all permits, contingency plans and mitigation measures that shall be put in place to control the risk of pollution to air, soil and controlled waters, protect biodiversity and avoid, minimise and manage the productions of wastes with particular attention being paid to the constraints and risks of the site. The scheme shall also include details of noise impacts and controls, hours of operation, and dust impact assessment and proposed control in accordance with the Institute of Air Quality Management guidance for dust assessment from construction sites. Thereafter the development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details and any subsequent amendments shall be agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority.
22. PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT: No part of the development hereby permitted shall be commenced until the detailed design of the proposed permanent surface water drainage management system has been submitted to, and approved in writing by, the Local Planning Authority, in consultation with Devon County Council as the Lead Local Flood Authority. The application for the detailed drainage should be submitted

and agreed at the same time that the reserved matters for layout are submitted and agreed. The design of this permanent surface water drainage management system will be in accordance with the principles of sustainable drainage systems, and those set out in the Flood Risk Assessment (Rev. 4 dated 8th October 2018) and the relevant sections of the letter titled LLFA and Highway Consultation Response (dated 29th October 2019). No part of the development shall be occupied until the surface water management scheme serving that part of the development has been provided in accordance with the approved details and the drainage infrastructure shall be retained and maintained for the lifetime of the development.

23. PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT: No part of the development hereby permitted shall be commenced until the full results of a groundwater monitoring programme, undertaken over a period of 12 months, has been submitted to, and approved in writing by, the Local Planning Authority, in consultation with Devon County Council as the Lead Local Flood Authority. This monitoring should be conducted to provide adequate coverage of the site, with particular focus placed on the locations and depths of the proposed infiltration devices.
24. PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT: No part of the development hereby permitted shall be commenced until the full details of the adoption and maintenance arrangements for the proposed permanent surface water drainage management system have been submitted to, and approved in writing by, the Local Planning Authority, in consultation with Devon County Council as the Lead Local Flood Authority.
25. PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT: No part of the development hereby permitted shall be commenced until details of the exceedance pathways and overland flow routes across the site in the event of rainfall in excess of the design standard of the proposed surface water drainage management system have been submitted to, and approved in writing by, the Local Planning Authority, in consultation with Devon County Council as the Lead Local Flood Authority.
26. PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT: No part of the development hereby permitted shall be commenced until the detailed design of the proposed surface water drainage management system which will serve the development site for the full period of its construction has been submitted to, and approved in writing by, the Local Planning Authority, in consultation with Devon County Council as the Lead Local Flood Authority. This temporary surface water drainage management system must satisfactorily address both the rates and volumes, and quality, of the surface water runoff from the construction site.
27. The proposed estate roads, cycleways, footways, footpaths, verges, junctions, street lighting, sewers, drains, retaining walls, service routes, surface water outfall, road maintenance/vehicle overhang margins, embankments, visibility splays, accesses, car parking and street furniture shall be constructed and laid out in accordance with details to be approved by the Local Planning Authority in writing before their construction begins, For this purpose, plans and sections indicating, as appropriate, the design, layout, levels, gradients, materials and method of construction shall be submitted to the Local Planning Authority.

28. PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT: Prior to commencement of any part of the site the Planning Authority shall have received and approved a Construction Management Plan (CMP) including:
- (a) the timetable of the works;
 - (b) daily hours of construction;
 - (c) any road closure;
 - (d) hours during which delivery and construction traffic will travel to and from the site, with such vehicular movements being restricted to between 8:00am and 6pm Mondays to Fridays inc.; 9.00am to 1.00pm Saturdays, and no such vehicular movements taking place on Sundays and Bank/Public Holidays unless agreed by the planning Authority in advance;
 - (e) the number and sizes of vehicles visiting the site in connection with the development and the frequency of their visits;
 - (f) the compound/location where all building materials, finished or unfinished products, parts, crates, packing materials and waste will be stored during the demolition and construction phases;
 - (g) areas on-site where delivery vehicles and construction traffic will load or unload building materials, finished or unfinished products, parts, crates, packing materials and waste with confirmation that no construction traffic or delivery vehicles will park on the County highway for loading or unloading purposes, unless prior written agreement has been given by the Local Planning Authority;
 - (h) hours during which no construction traffic will be present at the site;
 - (i) the means of enclosure of the site during construction works; and
 - (j) details of proposals to promote car sharing amongst construction staff in order to limit construction staff vehicles parking off-site
 - (k) details of wheel washing facilities and obligations
 - (l) The proposed route of all construction traffic exceeding 7.5 tonnes.
 - (m) Details of the amount and location of construction worker parking.
 - (n) Photographic evidence of the condition of adjacent public highway prior to commencement of any work;
 - (o) Details of an AA route signage plan
- This approved CMP shall be strictly adhered to during the construction of the development hereby permitted, unless variation is approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.
29. No mud, stones, water, or debris shall be deposited on the public highway at any time.
30. Prior to commencement of any of the off-site highway works an independent Stage 2 safety audit and designer's response shall be submitted to the Planning Authority for approval.
31. In the event of and prior to the closure of David's Lane to vehicular traffic, a scheme to light the section of David's Lane between the site and Godwell Lane to the west shall be submitted to and agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The approved scheme shall be completed by the developer prior to occupation of the 10th dwelling.
32. No dwelling shall be occupied until the garage and/or parking area relating to that dwelling has been provided in accordance with the approved details

and is available for use. The garages/parking areas shall be retained for the parking of vehicles in perpetuity.

33. Prior to its installation, a detailed lighting strategy shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The strategy shall include details of the type of lights, orientation/angle of the luminaries, the spacing and height of lighting columns/fixings, the extent/levels of illumination over the site and on adjacent land through the submission of an isolux contour plan and measures necessary to contain light within the curtilage of the site. The scheme shall demonstrate conformity with the recommendations of the Ecology Solutions Ltd. Ecological Assessment October 2018 572.EcoAss.vf1, and shall be produced in accordance with relevant guidance from the Institute of Lighting Professionals with reference to the Lighting Assessment October 2018 by WYG. The development shall be built and maintained in accordance with the approved details.
34. PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT: No development shall take place until the developer has secured the implementation of a programme of archaeological work in accordance with a written scheme of investigation (WSI) which has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall be carried out at all times in accordance with the approved scheme, or such other details as may be subsequently agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

CONDITIONS FOR THE FULL PLANNING ELEMENT OF THE APPLICATION:

35. The development to which this permission relates must be begun not later than whichever is the later of the following dates (i) the expiration of three years from the date of the grant of outline planning permission or (ii) the expiration of two years from the final approval of the reserved matters or, in the case of approval on different dates, the final approval of the last such matter to be approved.
36. The details hereby approved shall in all respects accord strictly with drawings numbers 04957.TRRP.6.11.18, Topographical Survey Sheets 1 and 2, A106760/CP-01.G, SLP-01.A, and SLP-02.A, received on 26th November 2018, drawing numbers 173396_G_11-E, CMP-02.K, and SW124-PD-060.A received on 11th November 2019, drawing numbers 173396_G_03.H, NSS.272_272-1.PL-01, NSS.272_272-1.PL-02, NSS.372.PL-02, NSS.375.PL-03, NSS.375-1.PL-03, NSS.383_383-1.PL-02_05, NSS.472-1.PL-03_05, NSS.476.PL-02_06, NSS.476.PL-04_06, NSS.476-1.PL-04_06, NSS.807.PL-01_03, NSS.807-1.PL-01_03, NSS.3B5P.PL-01, NSS.M2B4P.PL-01, NSS.M3B5P.PL-01_03, NSS.M401.PL-01-03, NSS.M801.PL-01_03, NSS.M861.PL-01_03, GL01.PL-01, and GL02SP.PL01, received on 12th November 2019, drawing numbers NSS.382-1.PL-02, NSS.382.PL-02, NSS.382.PL-04, NSS.470-1.PL02, NSS.470-1.PL04, NSS.470.PL-02, NSS.470.PL-04, and NSS.472.PL-03_05, received on 12th December 2019, drawing number A.106760.LA100.B, received on 23rd December 2019, and drawing numbers SW060-LS-001.G, SW124-SL-001.N, SW124-SL-020.E, SW124-SL-900.E, SW124-SL-060.E SW124-SL-901.E, SW124-SL-902.E, and SW124-SL-903.K, received on 2nd January 2020, and the following documents: Planning Statement (dated October 2018), Planning

Statement Addendum (dated November 2019), Design & Access Statement (parts 1, 2, and 3, dated October 2018), Flood Risk Assessment & Drainage Strategy (dated October 2018), Transport Assessment (parts 1 and 2, dated October 2018), Ecological Impact Assessment (dated October 2018), Landscape & Visual Assessment (dated October 2018), Noise Assessment (dated October 2018), Air Quality Assessment (dated October 2018), Lighting Assessment (dated October 2018), Archaeological and Heritage Assessment (dated October 2018), Arboricultural Impact Assessment (dated October 2018), Energy Strategy Statement (version 1, dated August 2019), NDSS Schedule (dated September 2019), LLFA & Highway Consultation Response (dated 29th October 2019), Solar Orientation Plan A106760-1 (dated 8th November 2019), Noise Comments Response (dated 8th November 2019), Response to Highways England (dated 3rd January and 13th January 2020 respectively).

37. The development hereby approved shall not be carried out otherwise than in accordance with a phasing programme which shall previously have been submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority in writing.
38. **PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT:** No part of the development hereby permitted shall be commenced until the detailed design of the proposed permanent surface water drainage management system has been submitted to, and approved in writing by, the Local Planning Authority, in consultation with Devon County Council as the Lead Local Flood Authority. The design of this permanent surface water drainage management system will be in accordance with the principles of sustainable drainage systems, and those set out in the Flood Risk Assessment (Rev. 4 dated 8th October 2018) and the relevant sections of the letter titled LLFA and Highway Consultation Response (dated 29th October 2019).
Details shall include location of manhole covers and the detailed design of the above ground SUDS features including slope gradient, drainage inlets and outlets. The development shall be implemented in accordance with the approved details only.
39. Groundwater shall be monitored in the south-western and south-eastern parcels during the construction of the northern parcel. Upon completion of the construction phase, the full results of this groundwater monitoring programme shall be submitted to, and approved in writing by, the Local Planning Authority, in consultation with Devon County Council as the Lead Local Flood Authority. If groundwater is noted to rise close to ground level during the construction phase, then all construction must stop until the detailed design of the alternative surface water drainage strategy has been submitted to, and approved in writing by, the Local Planning Authority.
40. **PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT:** No part of the development hereby permitted shall be commenced until the full details of the adoption and maintenance arrangements for the proposed permanent surface water drainage management system have been submitted to, and approved in writing by, the Local Planning Authority, in consultation with Devon County Council as the Lead Local Flood Authority.

41. PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT: No part of the development hereby permitted shall be commenced until the detailed design of the proposed surface water drainage management system which will serve the development site for the full period of its construction has been submitted to, and approved in writing by, the Local Planning Authority, in consultation with Devon County Council as the Lead Local Flood Authority. This temporary surface water drainage management system must satisfactorily address both the rates and volumes, and quality, of the surface water runoff from the construction site.
42. Prior to their construction, details of the facing materials of all retaining walls (except where they form part of a garden boundary wall) shall be submitted to the LPA and approved in writing. The development shall be implemented in accordance with the approved details.
43. Within 3 months of the date of this consent or prior to commencement of development above slab level (whichever is sooner), an amended Landscape Concept Plan shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The amendments shall include the clarification of all planted areas in the first phase of the development and the inclusion of trees in locations shown on the suite of Bloor Homes layout plans (prefix SW124) and shall include additional link pathways to the northwest of plot 94 and to the east of plots 37 and 58. The development of Phase 1 shall thereafter be carried out in accordance with the approved Landscape Concept Plan.
44. Prior to development above slab level in Phase 1, full soft landscape proposals (the Landscape Scheme) in accordance with the approved Landscape Concept Plan for that phase shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The Landscape Scheme shall be prepared by an appropriately qualified professional and shall include:
- arrangements for stripping, storage and re-use of top soil;
 - arrangements for importation of top soil, including volume, source, quality, depth and areas to be treated;
 - details, including design and materials, of ancillary structures such as bin stores and signage;
 - details of lighting including function, location, design and intensity;
 - details of new ground profiles including retaining bunds and banks; the location, number, species, density, form and size of proposed tree, hedge and shrub planting;
 - the method of planting, establishment and protection of tree, hedge and shrub planting;
 - maintenance schedules for the establishment of new planting and its ongoing management;
- All elements of the Landscape Scheme shall be implemented in the first planting season following reasonable completion of the development, or first occupation of the dwellings in that phase (whichever is sooner) and maintained in accordance with the approved details unless otherwise agreed in writing by the local planning authority. All work shall be completed in accordance with the timetable agreed in writing.
45. PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT: No development shall take place until a detailed Construction Environment Management Plan (CEMP) has been

- submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. This Plan shall include details of all permits, contingency plans and mitigation measures that shall be put in place to control the risk of pollution to air, soil and controlled waters, protect biodiversity and avoid, minimise and manage the productions of wastes with particular attention being paid to the constraints and risks of the site. Thereafter the development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details and any subsequent amendments shall be agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority.
46. PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT Prior to the commencement of the development hereby permitted, full details of proposed electric vehicle charging points shall be provided. The electric car charging provision shall accord with good practice guidance on mitigating air quality impacts from developments produced by the Institute of Air Quality Management. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the agreed details and shall be made available for use prior to the first occupation of the building(s) to which they relate, and retained thereafter as such.
 47. All gates that lead to rear gardens shall match the same height and robust construction as the adjoining boundary treatment and gates shall be capable of being locked from both sides to ensure that rear gardens can be secured regardless of access or egress. The shared access to gardens of plots 23 & 24 and 40 & 41 shall be gated with access controlled for only the residents served by that access and shall be fitted without creating recesses or concealed areas.
 48. PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT: Prior to the commencement of the development (Phase 1), a Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The LEMP shall be based upon an up to date ecological survey of the site and buildings and will include mitigation measures as set out in the existing ecological survey which shall be integrated with the detailed landscape scheme to be submitted as part of the reserved matters. The LEMP shall include details of habitat creation, management and maintenance and protected species mitigation, compensation and enhancement measures, covering construction and post construction phases.
 49. Accompanying the LEMP shall be details of the specification and locations of inbuilt bird and bat boxes.
 50. The impact avoidance and mitigation measures for protected species detailed in the Ecological Assessment (Ecology Solutions, October 2018) shall be adhered to at all times.

S106 to include:

Highways

1. £173,000 towards a traffic and air quality mitigation scheme in Ivybridge
2. £127,000 towards footway improvements between the site access junction/B3213 and The Rutt Lane/B3213 junction.
3. £5,000.00 towards Traffic Regulation Order - Closure of Davids Lane.
4. £5,000.00 towards a Traffic Regulation Order 30mph speed limit extension on the B3213.
5. £300.00 per dwelling towards travel vouchers

6. The works set out on drawing 173396_G_10 shall be complete prior to occupation of any of dwellings unless otherwise agreed by the County Council.

Biodiversity

7. Securing Plymouth Sound and Estuaries EMS sums in accordance with figures at <http://www.plymouth-mpa.uk/home/managing-the-mpa/documents/>
8. Securing sum of £25,729.25 towards off-site biodiversity net gain measures ensuring the overall development delivers 10% Biodiversity Net Gain.
9. Ongoing management and maintenance in perpetuity in accordance with the LEMP.
10. Appropriate clauses with respect to setup of Management Company for public open space and boundaries.

Education

11. The primary contribution of £252,562. The secondary contribution sought would be £381,425

Affordable Housing

12. All of the rented units need to be prioritised to applicants in Bands A - D on Devon Home Choice with a local connection to the parish of Ugborough in the first instance.
13. The secondary cascade is to applicants in Bands A - D with a local connection to Ivybridge.
14. The third cascade is South Hams district wide Bands A – E.
15. All of the above can be achieved in one letting cycle on Devon Home Choice.

Open Space/Play

16. Provision of a LAP (or equivalent play value) in Phase 1 – details to be provided by condition.
17. Provision of a LEAP in Phase 2 with an activity zone of c.400m², at least 5 pieces of equipment, and a minimum 20m buffer between the activity zone and the habitable room façade of dwellings and 10m buffer between the activity zone and adjacent dwelling boundaries.
18. Public access and on-going management and maintenance of the on-site public open spaces, including play areas, in perpetuity.
19. An off-site play contribution of £25,971.50 in respect of Phase 1 in the event that Phase 2 isn't implemented within an agreed timeframe. Contribution to be used towards improvements to play facilities in Ivybridge Town Centre.
20. A sports and recreation contribution as follows
 - a. Phase 1 = £96,981.21 (£69,583.50 capital and £27,397.71 maintenance)
 - b. Phase 2 = A x £329 capital. Maintenance = A x B x C, where:
A = number of occupants (based on number of dwellings x 2.25).
B = 12.7 (m²/person requirement for playing pitches)
C = £10.20 (20 year cost/m² for maintenance of playing pitches)

Contribution to be used towards:

- Improvement to sports facilities at Erme Valley Playing Fields; and/or
- Improvements to and extension of changing facilities for Ivybridge Rugby Club; and/or
- Upgrade of All Weather Pitch with Community Use Agreement at Ivybridge College; and/or
- Investment in ground works at old cricket ground, Moorhaven to restore pitch for football and rugby; and/or
- Improvements to football ground at Twinaways Hillhead Cross including provision of a shelter for changing purposes, and secure storage for equipment.

Ecology/Biodiversity

1. Prior to commencement specification and locations of inbuilt bird and bat boxes for Phase 1 of the development
2. Adherence to impact avoidance and mitigation measures for protected species detailed in the Ecological Assessment (Ecology Solutions, October 2018).
3. No removal of suitable Dormouse habitat including hedgerows and scrub shall commence unless the LPA has been provided with a copy of the licence issued by Natural England pursuant to Regulation 55 of The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 authorising the development to go ahead, or a statement in writing from the licensed bat ecologist to the effect that he/she does not consider that the specified development will require a licence.
4. Impact avoidance and mitigation measures to be appropriately reflected in any Construction Environment Management Plan.
5. Securing Plymouth Sound and Estuaries EMS sums in accordance with figures at <http://www.plymouth-mpa.uk/home/managing-the-mpa/documents/>
6. Securing sum of £25,729.25 towards off-site biodiversity net gain measures ensuring the overall development delivers 10% Biodiversity Net Gain – triggers and clauses to be advised.
7. Ongoing management and maintenance in perpetuity in accordance with the LEMP

c) 3216/19/FUL Former chapel of rest/garage off Zion Place, rear of Western Road, Ivybridge, PL21 9AN

Town: Ivybridge West

Development: READVERTISEMENT (Clarification of site address) Demolition of existing shed and replace with one dwelling house

Case Officer Update: None

Speakers included: Objector – Statement from Ms Hutchins: Supporter – Ms Clare Collings: Ward Members – Cllr David May

and Cllr Lance Austen

Recommendation: Conditional Approval

Committee Decision: Conditional Approval

Conditions:

1. Time Limit
2. Approved Plans
3. Construction Management Plan
4. Removal of PD1
5. Removal of PD2
6. Drainage
7. Highways – parking and turning
8. Unexpected Contamination
9. Boundary Treatment
10. Materials

d) 2188/19/VAR **Land at SX 666 559, Upper Lordswood Stables,
Ugborough, PL21 0LA**

Parish: Ugborough

Variation of conditions 4 & 5 of planning consent 57/1308/11/F (Change of use of land to provide all weather sand school, erection of barn and creation of hard standing and storage area) to use as private business property providing therapy and training to equines

Case Officer Update: Clarification given on entrance and confirmation lower gateway is not part of the application nor entrance.

Speakers included: Supporter – Mr Jon Pearson: Ward Member – Cllr Holway

Recommendation: Refusal

During the discussion on this application, it was confirmed that the Highways Officer was happier with the situation now that the applicant had altered the positioning of the gateway. It was confirmed that traffic to the site would be minimal as business is to treat traumatised horses who will be brought to the site and left for treatment of days or weeks. It was noted that accepting this application supports local business. Members agreed to add in a condition that the alteration to the entrance must be completed before the business begins. Members also added in a condition that the applicant publish on their website and/or management plan to direct any clients on how to approach the site from the best direction to ease access.

Committee Decision: Conditional Approval

Conditions:

1. The development hereby approved shall in all respects accord strictly with drawing numbers H2, H3, H4, H6, H7, H8 and H8A received by the Local Planning Authority on 23rd May 2011.
and Highways Visibility Diagram - received by the Local Planning Authority on 18/11/2019
2. The scheme of landscaping shall continue to accord with the approved details approved by condition discharge application 57/2138/11/DIS
All planting, seeding, turfing or hardsurfacing comprised in the approved landscaping scheme shall be carried out by the end of the first planting and seeding seasons following the occupation of the buildings or completion of the development, whichever is the sooner. Any trees or plants which within a period of five years from the completion of the development die, are removed or become seriously damaged or diseased shall be replaced in the next planting season with others of similar size and species unless the Local Planning Authority gives written consent to any variation. The landscaping scheme shall be strictly adhered to during the course of the development and thereafter.
3. The development hereby permitted shall only be used for personal use or in the case of commercial purposes for use only in connection with the rehabilitation or training of equines being kept on site at full livery for the period of rehabilitation or training only. There shall be no part-time or do it yourself (DIY) liveries on the site.
4. The manege hereby permitted shall for personal use or in the case of commercial purposes for use only in connection with the rehabilitation or training of equines being kept on site at full livery for the period of rehabilitation or training only. There shall be no part-time or do it yourself (DIY) liveries on the site.
5. There shall be no floodlighting or other external lighting at the site.
6. The details of the works for the disposal of sewage shall continue to accord with the approved details approved by condition discharge application 57/2138/11/DIS
7. The site must be drained on a separate system of foul and surface water drainage, with all clean roof water and surface water being kept separate from foul drainage.
8. The details of the materials to be used in the construction of the hardstanding shall continue to accord with the approved details approved by condition discharge application 57/2138/11/DIS
The hard surface shall be constructed from porous materials or provision should be made to direct surface run off water within the site.
9. The materials and finishes, of the post and rail fencing and the surface treatment of the ménage shall continue to accord with the details approved by condition discharge application 57/2138/11/DIS
10. This permission shall not be exercised in addition to or in combination, in whole or in part, with (a) the planning permission dated 3rd September 2010 Code No. 57/1439/10/F and in the event of any development being commenced under that permission, all development under this permission shall be removed and use ceased forthwith.
11. The route plan and instructions indicating the optimum route for visitors received by the Local Planning Authority on 26th March 2020 shall be observed by all visitors. This route information must be given to visitors prior

to their arrival and/or published on the business website. For the avoidance of doubt this is <http://www.annabonnage.com/home/4582386492> and shall equally apply to any subsequent business name associated with Upper Lordswood Stables Ugborough Ivybridge PL21 0LA.

e) 2190/19/VAR Land at SX 666 559, Upper Lordswood Stables, Ugborough, PL21 0LA

Parish: Ugborough

Variation of condition 2 on approved application 57/1920/12/F (Retrospective application for change of use of land to equestrian use and erection of security storage unit and horse exercising unit) to use as private business property providing therapy and training to equines

Case Officer Update: See above application 2188/19/VAR as both applications taken together

Speakers included: Supporter – Mr Jon Pearson: Ward Member – Cllr Holway

Recommendation: Refusal

Committee Decision: Conditional Approval

Conditions:

1. The development hereby approved shall in all respects accord strictly with drawing numbers H1, H2, H4(1), H4(2), H4(3), H5(4) received by the Local Planning Authority on 20th August 2012 and Highways Visibility Diagram - received by the Local Planning Authority on 18/11/2019
2. The horse exercising unit hereby approved shall only be used for personal use or in the case of commercial purposes for use only in connection with the rehabilitation or training of equines being kept on site at full livery for the period of rehabilitation or training only. There shall be no part-time or do it yourself (DIY) liveries on the site.
3. The route plan and instructions indicating the optimum route for visitors received by the Local Planning Authority on 26th March 2020 shall be observed by all visitors. This route information must be given to visitors prior to their arrival and/or published on the business website. For the avoidance of doubt this is <http://www.annabonnage.com/home/4582386492> and shall equally apply to any subsequent business name associated with Upper Lordswood Stables Ugborough Ivybridge PL21 0LA.

f) 3647/19/FUL Field at Ackerlls Hill, Ackrells Hill, Littlehempston

Parish: Littlehempston

Erection of 2 linked agricultural sheds and green houses, a livestock shelter and

hen coup, creation of parking area and provision of drainage facilities in order to establish an organic smallholding.

Case Officer Update: Condition 10 to be amended to refer to vehicles as opposed to visitors, ie no more than 7 vehicles at any one time. More letters of representation had been received: 13 letters objecting, 5 in support, and the Parish Council now undecided rather than against.

Speakers included: Supporter – Mr Steve Munday: Ward Member – Cllr Trevor Pennington

Recommendation: Conditional approval

During discussions it was agreed that a condition would be added to ensure the doors on the livestock field shelters would be solid timber.

Committee Decision: Conditional approval

Conditions:

1. Standard time limit
2. Accord with plans
3. Surface water drainage scheme
4. Agricultural/horticultural use only
5. Remove after no longer use for intended purposes
6. No external lighting
7. There shall be no use of internal artificial lighting within the new Agricultural Building from 15 minutes after sunset to 15 minutes before sunrise from April to October (inclusive).
8. The recommendations (section D) of the Updated Ecological Assessment (DWV, Nov 2019) shall be followed before and during construction.
9. Prior to commencement full landscaping details shall be provided including planting specifications, plan for establishment and ongoing management of the proposals.
- 10.No more than 7 vehicles at any time
- 11.No overnight stays
- 12.Hours of visits Mon – Sat 9am – 6pm
- 13.Details of visibility splay
14. Resubmission of plans/drawings – field shelter doors to be solid timber

g) 3818/19/FUL “Bourton Linhay”, Bourton Lane, Totnes, TQ9 6LA

Town: Totnes

Conversion of redundant agricultural barn to dwelling plus extension

Case Officer Update: None

Speakers included: Objector – Mr John Dennis-Betts: Supporter – Mr Mark Evans: Ward Members – Cllrs Birch, Rose, and Sweett

Recommendation: Conditional approval

Committee Decision: Conditional approval

Conditions:

1. Standard time limit
2. Accord with plans
3. Surface water
4. Foul drainage
5. Unexpected contamination
6. Joinery
7. Materials
8. Stone work
9. Boundary planting

h) 3677/19/FUL Wash House, Buckfastleigh, TQ11 0LD

Parish: Staverton

Erection of single storey dwelling in garden

Case Officer Update: None

Speakers included: Supporter – Ms Mary Elkington: Staverton Parish Council sent a statement: Ward Members – Cllr Jacqi Hodgson

Recommendation: Refusal

Committee Decision: Refusal

i) 3808/19/FUL 2 Wells Cottages, Buckfastleigh, TQ11 0JU

Parish: Staverton

Application for replacement dwelling

Case Officer Update: None

Speakers included: Supporter – Mr Tom Lowry: Staverton Parish Council representative – Cllr Ian Catherall: Ward Member – Cllr Jacqi Hodgson

Recommendation: Refusal

Committee Decision: Refusal

j) 3994/19/HHO 17 St Dunstans Road, Salcombe, TQ8 8AL

Town: Salcombe

(Revised plans) Householder application for loft conversion with rear facing dormer window (Resubmission of 0964/19/HHO).

Case Officer Update: None

Speakers included: Ward Members – Cllr Mark Long and Cllr Judy Pearce
(statement)

Recommendation: Conditional approval

Committee Decision: Conditional approval

Conditions: 1. Standard time limit
 2. Accord with plans

DM.60/19 PLANNING APPEALS UPDATE

Members noted the list of appeals as outlined in the presented agenda report.

The Head of Development Management provided further details on specific recent appeal decisions.

(Meeting commenced at 10:00 am and concluded at 5:06 pm)

Chairman

Voting Analysis for Planning Applications – DM Committee 11 March 2020

Application No:	Site Address	Vote	Councillors who Voted Yes	Councillors who Voted No	Councillors who Voted Abstain	Absent
3964/18/HHO	The Boathouse, South Town, Dartmouth	Conditional Approval	Cllrs Abbott, Brazil, Brown, Holway, Pannell, Reeve, Taylor (7)	Cllrs Long, Pringle (2)	Cllrs Kemp, Rowe (2)	Cllr Hodgson (1)
3703/18/OPA (Ivybridge East & Ermington & Ugborough) MAJOR	Land at SX 651560 – Filham, Ivybridge	Conditional Approval	Cllrs Abbott, Brazil, Brown, Long, Pannell, Reeve, Rowe, Taylor (8)	Cllrs Kemp, Pringle (2)	Cllr Holway (1)	Cllr Hodgson (1)
3216/19/FUL (Ivybridge West)	Former chapel of rest/garage off Zion Place, Ivybridge, PL21 9AN	Conditional Approval	Cllrs Abbott, Brazil, Brown, Hodgson, Holway, Long, Kemp, Pannell, Reeve, Rowe, Taylor (11)	Cllr Pringle (1)	(0)	(0)
2188/19/VAR Ermington & Ugborough	Land at Sx 666 559, Upper Lordswood Stables, Ugborough, Ivybridge, PL21 0LA	Against Refusal	Cllrs Abbott, Brazil, Brown, Hodgson, Holway, Long, Kemp, Pannell, Pringle, Reeve, Rowe, Taylor (12)	(0)	(0)	(0)
2190/19/VAR Ermington & Ugborough	Land at Sx 666 559, Upper Lordswood Stables, Ugborough, Ivybridge, PL21 0LA	Against Refusal	Cllrs Abbott, Brazil, Brown, Hodgson, Holway, Long, Kemp, Pannell, Pringle, Reeve, Rowe, Taylor (12)	(0)	(0)	(0)
3647/19/FUL Marldon & Littlehempston	Field at Ackerlls Hill, Ackrells Hill, Littlehempston	Conditional Approval	Cllrs Abbott, Brazil, Brown, Holway, Long, Kemp, Pannell, Pringle, Reeve, Rowe, Taylor (11)	(0)	(0)	Cllr Hodgson (1)
3818/19/FUL (Totnes)	Bourton Linhay, Bourton Lane,	Conditional Approval	Cllrs Abbott, Brazil, Brown, Holway, Pannell, Pringle, Reeve, Rowe, Taylor (9)	Cllrs Hodgson, Long, Kemp (3)	(0)	(0)

	Totnes, TQ9 6LA					
3677/19/FUL Dartington & Staverton	Wash House, Buckfastleigh, TQ11 0LD	Against Refusal	Cllrs Abbott, Hodgson, Holway, Kemp (4)	Cllrs Brazil, Brown, Long, Pringle, Reeve, Rowe, Taylor (7)	Cllr Pannell (1)	(0)
3677/19/FUL Dartington & Staverton	Wash House, Buckfastleigh, TQ11 0LD	Refusal	Cllrs Brazil, Brown, Long, Pringle, Reeve, Rowe, Taylor (7)	Cllrs Abbott, Hodgson, Holway, Kemp (4)	Cllr Pannell (1)	(0)
3808/19/FUL Dartington & Staverton	2 Well Cottages, Buckfastleigh, TQ11 0JU	Against Refusal	Cllrs Abbott, Hodgson, Holway, Kemp (4)	Cllrs Brazil, Brown, Long, Pannell, Pringle, Reeve, Rowe, Taylor (8)	(0)	(0)
3808/19/FUL Dartington & Staverton	2 Well Cottages, Buckfastleigh, TQ11 0JU	Refusal	Cllrs Brazil, Brown, Long, Pannell, Pringle, Reeve, Rowe, Taylor (8)	Cllrs Abbott, Hodgson, Holway, Kemp (4)	(0)	(0)
3994/19/HHO Salcombe & Hurlstone	17 St Dunstons Road, Salcombe, TQ8 8AL	Conditional Approval	Cllrs Abbott, Brazil, Brown, Hodgson, Holway, Long, Kemp, Pannell, Pringle, Reeve, Rowe, Taylor (12)	(0)	(0)	(0)
100 23						
Application No:	Site Address	Vote	Councillors who Voted Yes	Councillors who Voted No	Councillors who Voted Abstain	Absent

This page is intentionally left blank

PLANNING APPLICATION REPORT

Case Officer: Bryn Kitching

Parish: Kingsbridge **Ward:** Kingsbridge

Application No: 2434/18/ARM

Agent/Applicant:

Mr T Biddle & Mr & Mrs Manisty (C/O
Baker Estates Ltd)
Green Tree House
Silverhills Road
Decoy Industrial Estate,
Newton Abbot
TQ12 5LZ

Applicant:

Mr T Biddle & Mr & Mrs Manisty (C/O
Baker Estates Ltd)
Green Tree House
Silverhills Road
Decoy Industrial Estate,
Newton Abbot
TQ12 5LZ

Site Address: Allocated Site K5, Land at SX 729 440, West Alvington Hill, Kingsbridge

Development: Application for approval of reserved matters (appearance, landscaping, layout and scale) for 53 no. dwellings and associated garages, infrastructure and landscaping following outline approval 28/0508/15/O for up to 60 no. dwellings, 0.5 hectares of employment land, 2no. vehicular accesses, open space, play provision and drainage.



Reason item is being put before Committee Cllr Pearce requested that this be considered by the Committee due to it being a major development in the AONB.

Introduction

On 12th February 2020, the Development Management Committee considered this reserved matters application and following the officer presentation, public speaking and member debate, the committee resolved to defer the application for the following reasons:

Reasons for Deferral:

- 1. The siting of affordable homes across the site*
- 2. Clarity over DEV26 biodiversity enhancement*
- 3. The number of homes accessed via steps and parking being too remote from properties in the eastern portion of the site*
- 4. Landscaping throughout estate and opportunities for more strategic green spaces*
- 5. Housing mix*
- 6. Scale and massing of the flats in the south eastern corner of the site*

The minutes also record member discussion as being:

“Members had a detailed discussion regarding the merits of the application, seeking clarification on various points including: access to the car park and bins from the flats, disabled access to flats in relation to the 25% lifetime homes required from the outline permission, and achieving net gain in biodiversity. The previous refusal (subsequently quashed) had, in part, been due to the non-integration of affordable homes across the site – Members felt this had not been suitably addressed. Members also felt strongly that the housing mix did not reflect that of the Joint Local Plan for South Hams, which detailed 4+ beds being at 18% whereas this application had a ratio of 50% for 4+ bedrooms. It was also felt that the 3.5 floored buildings at the entrance to the site and as an entrance to AONB did not enhance the AONB, contributing to issues with the scale and massing at the lower edge of the site”

Following that deferral, the applicants have made a number of amendments to address and respond to the Committee’s concerns. This first section on the report is written as an addendum to the original committee report that members considered in February 2020. A copy of the original report is below and this first section provides an update on the changes made, reports the responses received to the reconsultation, and assesses the amendments with regard to how they fit within the scope of the reserved matters application, the development plan and any other material considerations.

The recommendation below relates to the latest set of amendments and considers all responses received to the various iterations of this application.

List of amendments following deferral

The description of development has been amended to properly reflect the scope of the application and that the number of dwellings now proposed is 53. The amended description is:

Application for approval of reserved matters (appearance, landscaping, layout and scale) for 53 no. dwellings and associated garages, infrastructure and landscaping following outline approval 28/0508/15/O for up to 60 no. dwellings, 0.5 hectares of employment land, 2no. vehicular accesses, open space, play provision and drainage.

The amendments can be summarised against each of the six reasons for deferral and are identified by the bullet points below:

1. The siting of affordable homes across the site
 - 2 affordable units have been moved from eastern part of the site (plots 29 &30) to western part of the site (plots 8 and 53).
2. Clarity over DEV26 biodiversity enhancement
 - Explanatory plan provided (Tyler Grange ref 11728/P11) and accompanying statement (EAD ref 200303_P908_TN) submitted.
3. The number of homes accessed via steps and parking being too remote from properties in the eastern portion of the site
 - Additional pedestrian link from West Alvington Hill provided to eastern part of the site.
 - Parking allocation reduced from 133 to 131 spaces (2.47 spaces per dwelling)
 - Wheeling ramps to be provided on all steps.
4. Landscaping throughout estate and opportunities for more strategic green spaces
 - Additional tree planting shown in rear gardens of plots 1-12, 21-22, and 27-28.
 - Open space to rear of plots 38 – 43 increased from 449m² to 594m²
5. Housing mix
 - Removal of 4-bed house and replacement with 2 x 2-bed houses. Dwelling numbers increase from 52 to 53 but remain below the 60 permitted by the outline.
 - Updated planning statement includes letter from local Estate Agent Luscombe Maye.
6. Scale and massing of the flats in the south eastern corner of the site
 - Apartment block containing plots 44 – 52 has been reduced in height on eastern side (facing new access and West Alvington Hill) from 3.5 storeys to 2.5 stories.

Consultation responses

Following the submission of amended plans on 27th May 2020, a full reconsultation has taken place on those changes. The reconsultation ran for a period of 4 weeks from 29th May until 26th June and below is a summary of the responses received.

Please note that these responses only relate to the reconsultation that followed the submission of amended plans to address the committee deferral. The consultation responses received prior to it being last considered by the committee are contained in the main body of the report below that members considered on 12th February 2020.

When determining the proposals, there is a requirement to consider all comments received in response to the application.

- **County Highways Authority:** No objection
- **Environmental Health Section:** No objection
- **Kingsbridge Town Council:** Support
- **West Alvington Parish Council:** No further comments received
- **Police – Designing out Crime Officer:** No objection
- **Public Health:** No further comments received
- **Lead Local Flood Authority:** No further comments received
- **Open Space, Sports and Recreation:** No further comments received
- **South West Water:** No objection
- **Archaeology:** No further comments received
- **Affordable Housing:** Support

Representations:

Letters of representation from 5 members of the public and a letter from South Hams Society have been received in response to the latest consultation on amended plans. Many of the comments relate to the submission as a whole and have been already summarised in the main part of the original report below. In regard to the latest consultation, the following additional comments have been received:

- It would harm the character and local distinctiveness of the street scene of West Alvington Hill and the wider views of the eastern portion of the site would be adversely affected.
- The allocations have been deleted from the plan and the principle of development should be reconsidered.
- The development would not meet the latest standards of carbon emission levels.
- Disappointed that Kingsbridge Town Council now support the proposals.
- No improvements have been made to the plan to make it acceptable.
- The affordable housing report is poorly judged and encourages small unsuitable dwellings that have no benefit to the wellbeing of their inhabitants. They do not support young people and encourage an aging population.
- Loss of trees and natural habitat.
- The development should be considered in conjunction with other sites and not in isolation.

- Increase in traffic on Westville Hill will reduce safety of pedestrian access into town.
- Increased demand on Kingsbridge Community College will increase traffic in the area cause further deterioration to the parking situation.
- Building in AONB is unacceptable and loss of green pleasant fields.
- Access are onto a busy road.
- Local services would be under huge pressure to cope.
- Flooding of the town would be increased.
- Sewage needs attention and is not cheap or easy to sort out in a satisfactory manner.
- There is no shortage of accommodation in the area and the proposed dwellings are no affordable stare homes that would benefit younger people.
- New road junctions will increase chance of traffic accidents.
- The changes are negligible and do not address concerns previously raised by the South Hams Society.
- Block of flats is too close to main road, creating a canyon effect of noise to existing and proposed residents as well as people using the street.
- Loss of views from important footpath No.2
- The amendments only deal with the concerns of the committee and the concerns of the community are being ignored.
- Scale and mass of flats is too great and too close to the road.
- This is a key frontage and special architecture is required.
- The Devon hedge alongside the highway should be retained as it would also provide a beneficial noise barrier.
- Residents of the new development would be subjected to noise from the chiller units from the supermarket to the east.
- The council should commission a noise survey.
- The removal of the hedge would lead to residents suffering from poor air quality.

Consideration of amendments

The following 5 pages provide a brief explanation/summary of the extent of this reserved matters application and includes the issues for consideration. They continue provide a more detailed officer assessment of the amendments made in response to the deferral and ultimately lead to an updated recommendation.

Outline planning permission was granted in July 2015 for the erection of up to 60no. dwellings, 0.5 hectares of employment land, 2no. vehicular accesses, open space, play provision and drainage. All matters were reserved other than the two access points that were granted at either end of the site.

This application is for the reserved matters that are a requirement of condition 1 of the outline consent. The matters for consideration are the appearance, landscaping, layout and scale for the residential element of the consent and these are defined by the legislation as:

“appearance” means the aspects of a building or place within the development which determines the visual impression the building or place makes, including the external built form of the development, its architecture, materials, decoration, lighting, colour and texture

“landscaping” means the treatment of land (other than buildings) for the purpose of enhancing or protecting the amenities of the site and the area in which it is situated and includes —

- (a) screening by fences, walls or other means;*
- (b) the planting of trees, hedges, shrubs or grass;*
- (c) the formation of banks, terraces or other earthworks;*
- (d) the laying out or provision of gardens, courts, squares, water features, sculpture or public art; and*
- (e) the provision of other amenity features;*

“layout” means the way in which buildings, routes and open spaces within the development are provided, situated and orientated in relation to each other and to buildings and spaces outside the development;

“scale” means the height, width and length of each building proposed within the development in relation to its surroundings

The employment part of the outline consent does not form part of this application other than the access road to that part of the site. A separate application will need to be made in relation to any buildings on that site.

The application was deferred by the committee for six reasons and amended plans have been submitted in response. Each of those reasons and the latest submissions are considered below:

1. The siting of affordable homes across the site

The application has been amended by two of the affordable dwellings being moved from the eastern to the western part of the site. This further breaks up the number of clusters from 3 to 4 and creates a more even distribution of affordable dwellings on the eastern and western portions of the site. The size of the clusters comply with the guidance within the emerging SPD and have the support of the Affordable Housing Specialist with their full comments below:

The Affordable Housing team support these revised plans and amended description. We have the following points to make:

Integration of the affordable homes across the site. Two affordable units have now been moved further west and are now situated at plots 8 and 53. This revised layout ensures the affordable homes are integrated into the development and not segregated from the market element. The layout also allows for effective management of the affordable units.

Additional pedestrian link from West Alvington Hill. This is an improvement and allows for better walking and cycling links to the town centre for residents living in the affordable units on the eastern side of the site.

Housing tenure – we support the provision of 11 affordable rent units and 5 shared ownership units. The tenure secured in the S106 agreement for the outline planning permission.

Housing mix – this application will be providing the following affordable house types:

Affordable Rent

4 x 1 bedroom apartments
5 x 2 bedroom apartments
1 x 3 bed house
1 x 4 bed house

Shared Ownership

2 x 2 bed houses
2 x 3 bed houses

This mix meets the current and emerging housing need in Kingsbridge. The greatest need on the Council's housing register, Devon Home Choice, is for one and two bedroom rented accommodation, due to smaller household sizes and an ageing population. However, there is also a need for larger properties for growing families.

This has also been evidenced in our Strategic Housing Market Needs Assessment Part 2, see tables 4.6, 4.7 and 5.12b

<https://www.plymouth.gov.uk/sites/default/files/StrategicHousingMarketNeedsAssessmentPart2.pdf>

After discussions with local Registered Providers they also support this mix and note there is a demand for 2 and 3 bedroom shared ownership houses, as there has been no affordable housing to buy, built in the town for many years. There is demand from first time buyers and families who cannot afford to buy on the unrestricted open market.

This mix provides a range of housing that provides choice to existing and future residents of Kingsbridge and is compliant with policy DEV 8 of the Plymouth and South West Devon Joint Local Plan.

Based on the changes to the layout, it is considered that the deferral has led to an improvement to the affordable housing provision with a greater distribution of dwellings across the site and better integration.

2. Clarity over DEV26 biodiversity enhancement

At the committee meeting in February 2020, members sought a greater amount of clarity regarding the proposed biodiversity offset and enhancement. As the site already has outline planning permission with an associated legal agreement and conditions that addressed the relevant planning policies at that time, members were seeking clarification as to how the retained area of land adequately mitigated the overall loss of field to residential development.

As part of the revised submissions, a new plan has been produced that draws together the landscaping proposals and the biodiversity offsets/mitigation that are contained in the Landscape & Ecological Management Plan (LEMP). A specific area of land is set aside on the higher ground in the western part of the site and that meadow would be managed to provide a tussocky sward, native scrub planting and 5 reptile hibernacula. Planting within the open space areas of the main site has been specifically designed to include native tree and hedge planting with areas of wildflower meadow.

The Biodiversity Specialist is satisfied that requirements of the outline planning consent and S106 to provide a LEMP been met in full both for onsite habitats/protected species requirements, and for the retained field – effectively condition 18 being met. Two suggestions were made regarding the provision of some additional wildflower meadow in lieu of grass and

a plan to show the rotation of annual cutting of grassland can be resolved through the addition of planning conditions.

3. The number of homes accessed via steps and parking being too remote from properties in the eastern portion of the site

Members questioned the number of dwellings where, due to the gradient of the land and requirement to provide an access road to the employment site, had led to a number of houses being accessed by steps and parking being remote.

A new pedestrian access is now proposed from West Alvington Hill that would provide a level walking access to the 9 houses in the eastern part of the site. Prior to this, each of these houses could only be accessed via steps from the car park. This new footpath also has practical benefits in that it results in the better use of the open space that was proposed at the rear of the apartments.

Officers have worked with the applicants and looked into alternative was that could provide a sloped access (without steps) from the car parking that is alongside the new access road. Although physically possible, due to the requirement to meet certain gradients with level platforms/landings, this would result in the significant loss of the proposed public open space. This open space contains both landscaping and play equipment and it was considered that the reduction in provision would result in greater harm.

The layout is constrained by the slope of the hill, the access point being fixed by the outline application, the requirement to provide an access road to the employment site and the need to set the dwellings away from the existing employment site to the east. It is considered that the proposed layout of the eastern part of the site is the optimum that can be achieved given the site constraints and although the parking areas are some 25m from the houses, proposals have been put forward which improve pedestrian accessibility to and from West Alvington Hill and Kingsbridge town centre.

4. Landscaping throughout estate and opportunities for more strategic green spaces

This reason for deferral is partially addressed by the combined biodiversity and landscape plans above. Additional tree planting is proposed in the rear gardens of some of the dwellings that are on the highest part of the site. This would help to break up and soften parts of the development on the upper slopes. Prior to the submission of the amendments, officers considered whether there was scope for a greater level of strategic tree planting within the built up area of the site but this would have the consequence of pushing development further up the hill and result in greater landscape impact.

The amendments that provide the pedestrian access from West Alvington Road to the eastern part of the site result in an improvement to the open space through both it's enlargement and it becoming a more usable space. There would be a greater level of surveillance from the introduction of the new path and the size of the green open space increases from 449m² to 594m².

As a result of the deferral, the amount of tree planning has increased in the western part of the site and as this is on higher ground, it is considered that this is a betterment to the scheme. There were no objections to landscaping throughout the estate from the Council's specialists prior to the deferral and therefore the proposals are acceptable in this regard.

5. Housing mix

The main report below that was considered by members in February 2020 identifies the limited control that the Council has over open market housing mix on a reserved matters application. The outline permission pre-dates the Joint Local Plan and it is an outline planning consent that can include requirements on housing mix. The definition of each of the reserved matters is identified above and none of these include housing mix in the definition.

Notwithstanding this, the applicant has amended the housing mix to remove one of the proposed 4-bedroom houses and replace it with a pair of 2-bedroom houses. The previous and proposed open market mix is for the development is now:

Unit size	Former No.	Former %	Proposed No.	Proposed %
2 bed	9 units	25%	11 units	30%
3 bed	8 units	22%	8 units	22%
4 bed	17 units	47%	16 units	43%
5 bed	2 units	6%	2 units	5%

Note that increase of total number of open market dwellings from 36 to 37 changes the % of 3 and 5 bed units despite number of these remaining the same.

This is an improvement in that it provides a larger proportion of the smaller 2-bedroom properties through the removal of a larger 4-bedroom property. The total numbers are still well within the total number of up to 60 that was permitted by the outline planning consent. As open market housing mix can not be a determining factor for this application, the proposals are acceptable.

6. Scale and massing of the flats in the south eastern corner of the site

The affordable housing apartment block at the eastern entrance to the site was proposed to be 3½ stories high and members felt that this would not enhance the entrance to the AONB. Amendments have been made to reduce the height of the gable end that faces down West Alvington Hill. It is now proposed to be 2½ stories and this is a significant improvement to the entrance to the site and the AONB. The dwellings that are on the southern side of West Alvington Hill are on much higher ground and are a sufficient distance away to have any significant adverse impact from loss of residential amenity.

The reduction in the scale and mass of this apartment block is significant and the use of materials also helps to visually reduce its bulk. The higher sections of the building are cut into the steep slope of West Alvington Hill resulting in the rising ridge level following the slope of the hill.

The deferral on this point and the requirement to submit amended plans has resulted in an improvement to the development which overcomes the potential impact of the development when viewed from the street.

Following the deferral by the committee, the package of amendments addresses each of the issues raised and has resulted in improvements to the overall scheme. It now has the support of the Town Council and the recommendation is to grant reserved matters consent.

Recommendation: Grant reserved matters consent and discharge conditions 11 (foul drainage), 12 (surface water drainage), 14 (landscaping) and 18 (LEMP) of 28/0508/15/O.

Conditions

1. Development in accordance with the plans
2. Full details and specification of any rootlock/hydroseed bank
3. Before development proceeds above slab level, submission of a scheme for electric car charging points
4. Inclusion of further areas of meadow/wildflower grass on western public open space
5. Plan to accompany the LEMP and show the rotation of land for annual grass cut
6. Details of play equipment and natural play areas to be submitted
7. Details/samples of all external materials to be agreed
8. All gates to rear gardens shall be same height as adjoining wall/fence and shall be lockable from both sides.
9. Submission of details to show how the use of natural resources are minimised and how the development responds to climate change.

End of report update.

The following 26 pages are the original planning officer report that members considered in February 2020 with corrections made in line with the case officer verbal update provided at the start of the meeting.

The detailed conditions at the end have been updated to take into account the amended proposals and to meet the legal tests and requirements for conditions.

Key issues for consideration:

Whether the submitted details meet the requirements of the reserved matters set out in the approved outline consent 28/0508/15/O including whether the details deliver commitments set out in the s106.

Landscape and character impact, especially whether the development 'conserves and enhances' the South Devon AONB.

Design quality, visual and general amenity.

Financial Implications (Potential New Homes Bonus for major applications):

The Government has advised that the New Homes Bonus scheme will end after the 2020-2021 financial year and 20-21 is the last year's allocation. The 2020-21 NHB allocation for the Council will be based on dwellings built out by October 2019. A statement about a replacement scheme was expected in the New Year.

This application will be built after the October 2019 cut off for the New Homes Bonus funding, so no NHB funding will be received.

However, the Council does not know whether a replacement scheme is likely or not to pay the Council similar funding levels.

For information, under the New Homes Bonus scheme an amount of £1,337 was payable to the Council for an individual property, with an extra £280 if the property was for affordable housing.

Members are advised that this is provided on an information basis only and is not a material planning consideration in the determination of this application.

Site Description: The application site is located north of West Alvington Hill, with portions of land either side of Norden Lane, in Kingsbridge.

The development site lies to the west of Station Yard, an existing employment area in the west of Kingsbridge, and is north of the A379, West Alvington Hill. The site is split by Norden Lane and comprises a single field between Station Yard and Norden Lane and part of a further, larger field, to the west of Norden Lane. It is approximately 3.2 hectares in total.

The site lies on the western edge of Kingsbridge, to the north of the A381, West Alvington Hill. The land is within the South Devon Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) and lies approximately 200 metres from the Kingsbridge Conservation Area to the east and approximately 320 metres from the West Alvington Conservation Area to the west. The Salcombe to Kingsbridge Estuary Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) lies approximately 380 metres to the east of the site.

The site is situated immediately west of Station Yard and extends towards the west. The proposal site comprises an approximately 1.2 hectare field to the west Station Yard and part of the field to the west of Norden Lane (comprising 1.9 hectares). The site was previously

allocated as 'Proposal K5' in the South Hams Local Development Framework Kingsbridge Site Allocations Development Plan Document. It was not carried forward as an allocation in the Plymouth and South West Devon Joint Local Plan, but was identified on the Plan maps as a commitment.

The land within the site slopes down fairly steeply from south west to north east and both fields are enclosed by hedgebanks /trees. There is a public footpath that runs along Norden Lane and the northern boundary of the land to the west of the lane. This links into areas of woodland to the north west of the site.

To the north east of the site, beyond the existing industrial development at Station Yard, are Morrisons supermarket and the residential development of Lime Grove to the north east. This development, along with the residential development around the Redford Estate and Treble Park, forms the western edge of the town.

There is residential development to the south of the site, both along West Alvington Hill itself and beyond to the south. Beyond this residential development is Kingsbridge Community College. The playing fields for the college lie to the south of the site. There is a zebra crossing across the A381 close to the south western corner of the site. Open fields lie to the west of the site and there is a distance of approximately 370 metres between the western edge of the site and the residential development of Town Parks, West Alvington, which lies beyond to the west. To the south west are isolated properties of Thornfield and Little Thornfield, which lie to the south of the A381, beyond which lies the edge of the village of West Alvington, approximately 300 metres from the site.

The Proposal: This reserved matters application seeks to provide information pertinent to the residential element of the approved development:

Outline application (with all matters reserved except access) for erection of up to 60 no. dwellings, 0.5 hectares of employment land, 2 no. vehicular accesses, open space, play provision and drainage

This was approved under 28/0508/15/O on 27 July 2015. That approval was subject to the prior satisfactory completion of a Section 106 Agreement dealing with the following matters:

1. Affordable Housing provision.
2. Education Financial contribution.
3. Play and Public Open Space provision.
4. Off-Site Sport provision/contribution.
5. Cycle and Footpath provision to site boundaries.
6. Measures to secure public access to, and management and maintenance of, all footpaths, cycleways, public open space and play areas in perpetuity.
7. Landscape and Ecology Management Plan.
8. Management of Retained field for the benefit of Cirl Buntings.
9. Local Highways/Transport Infrastructure – off-site works.
10. Travel Pack/Sustainable Travel Vouchers.
11. Employment Land Transfer

Conditions

1. Outline – submission of reserved matters
2. Outline – reserved matters time limit 3 and 2 years

3. Outline – reserved matters to be submitted in 3 years
4. Accord with Plans/Exclude Illustrative Drawings
5. Parking/Turning Details (Residential)
6. Parking/Servicing (Employment Units)
7. Provision of Accesses and Visibility Splays
8. Details of Highway Infrastructure
9. Construction Management Plan
10. Safety Audits
11. Sewage Disposal Details
12. Submission of Surface Water Drainage Details and Management and Mitigation During Construction
13. Implementation of Surface Water Drainage Details and Management and Mitigation During Construction
14. Submission of a Detailed Landscape Plan, Planting Schedule & Specification
15. Implementation of Hard and Soft Landscaping
16. Restriction on cutting down retained trees
17. Submission and Implementation of Tree and Hedge Constraints Plan (Root Protection Areas)
18. Submission and Implementation of Landscape and Ecology Management Plan
19. No Burning of Vegetation etc During Construction
20. Details of External Lighting
21. Details of External Storage Areas
22. Renewable Energy/Energy Efficiency Details
23. Lifetime Homes
24. Unsuspected Contamination
25. Use of Employment Units
26. BREEAM Standard (Employment Units)
27. GPDO Exclusion (Residential)
28. GPDO Exclusion (Employment)

The reserved matters detail pertaining to the employment proposal is not included in this submission. The 0.5 hectares of space defined for the employment land has been identified on the application as land within the control of the applicant, but is not the subject of this application.

The application being considered in this report is to deal with the following reserved matters and conditions of the outline approval:

- (a) the design and external appearance of the proposed buildings;
- (b) layout and scale;
- (c) existing (and proposed) (i) site levels (together with proposed (ii) slab levels);
- (d) the materials for their construction
- (e) the arrangements for the disposal of foul and surface water;
- (f) the areas for (i) parking (ii) (and turning) of vehicles (in accordance with the Devon County Council's parking standards);
- (g) all other works including walls fences means of enclosure screening;
- (h) the location, extent and layout of open spaces;
- (i) the design, layout, levels, gradients, materials, drainage, lighting and method of construction of all new roads and connection with existing roads.
- (j) Hard and soft landscape

The conditions and reserved matters are considered together in this report.

Plans including detailed layout of the site and building design were provided on submission of the application in July 2018. Following public consultation, responses from statutory consultees and a review of the documents by the case officer including a number of meetings and discussions with the agents, revised plans were submitted for the Council's consideration. There was been no formal re-consultation at that time because a full set of revised plans had not been submitted and negotiations were ongoing. An extension of time was agreed to allow for just that, the event plans were not submitted by the stated date and the application was determined on the basis of the original (and only) full set of plans received. A delegated decision to refuse the application was taken, though it was later realised that the correct protocol, securing Ward Member agreement to the delegated approval, had not been followed as a result of not having considered the single letter of support received from West Alvington Parish Council.

The error was acknowledged by SHDC and the grounds for a Judicial Review were agreed between the Council and Applicants, the result of which was that the decision was quashed. It is therefore as though no decision on the reserved matters application had been made. The outline consent has not therefore expired, and so the Applicant and Council continued to work together toward the revised scheme which is the subject of this report.

Consultations:

The original application was made July 2018 and public consultation was undertaken at that time. Negotiations with the LPA followed and while some draft revised plans were submitted for the LPA to consider and provide feedback, a fully revised scheme was not submitted before a decision to refuse was made in July 2019. After that decision was quashed, the LPA continued discussions with the Applicant to work toward a more acceptable scheme. As a result, a fully revised scheme was submitted in December 2019 and a second public consultation period ensued. A summary of the changes made was provided by the applicant as follows:

The principle changes which have been made to the proposals are as follows:

- The development envelope has been amended, as agreed with the Council's Landscape and Planning Officers.
- A total of 52 units are now proposed. The mix of units is set out below and has been agreed with the Council's housing and policy officers:

Open Market

<i>Bed Space</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
<i>2 bed</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>25%</i>
<i>3 bed</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>22.2%</i>
<i>4 bed</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>47.2%</i>
<i>5 bed</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>5.6%</i>
<i>TOTAL</i>	<i>36</i>	<i>100%</i>

Affordable

<i>Bed Space</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
<i>1 bed</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>25%</i>
<i>2 bed</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>43.75%</i>
<i>3 bed</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>25%</i>
<i>4 bed</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>6.25%</i>
<i>TOTAL</i>	<i>16</i>	<i>100%</i>

- An enlarged area of public open space towards the south west of the site is proposed, incorporating natural play. Details of the proposed play equipment are provided.
- An additional area of natural open space is also proposed to the south west of plots 38/39 given the slope of the land and adjacent mature hedge.
- Parking has been reviewed across the site and is clearly identified on the submitted plans. The majority of parking is provided on plot, with additional on-street parking sensitively accommodated (including adjacent to the additional open space by virtue of the reduced development envelope highlighted at point 1). Provision has been made for 1 space per 1 bed, 2 spaces per 2/3 bed and 3 spaced for 4+ bed units.
- A similar entrance feature has been created on the approach into the site from the south west.
- Urban design adjustments have been made to provide improved transitions between storey heights and relationships between properties, identified by officers.
- The palette of materials comprises predominantly render and slate. A variety of colours are proposed to respond to positive local examples.
- Boundary treatment/ level change information has been provided, in particular, around the frontage of the apartments towards the east of the site.
- A short Landscape and Visual Peer Review/ Technical Note has been submitted to show the co-ordinated final scheme massing from the viewpoints agreed with the Council's Landscape Officer (1, 7 and 8) via verified wirelines. Separate semi-verified models of the emerging scheme and a reasonable interpretation of the outline permission has also been incorporated to show the scheme iteration.

Following review of those plans and further feedback from the case officer, some further and relatively minor changes were made to the scheme. Those plans were added to the public website but, in accordance with Government's Planning Policy Guidance (Paragraph: 026 Reference ID: 15-026-20190722), the LPA determined these changes did not require a further public consultation.

In summary those changes included:

- a) Amendment to the key on elevation drawings to make clear all roof finishes are real slate
- b) Moving the footway from the southern side of the road in the western portion of the site to the northern side and making it a solid surface rather than grass verge
- c) Provision of site levels for the public spaces within the site to supplement the site sections and finished floor levels
- d) Amending bin storage design
- e) Omitting the remaining brick walls in the otherwise render and stone scheme

- f) Removing the grass verge from the areas outside plots 15 – 23 where a hard surface is required
- g) Clarification of what comprised the 'landscape enhancement' referred to on plans

These matters did not address the concerns of those who had objected to the development and so it was not considered necessary to provide a further formal consultation.

- **County Highways Authority:** No objection
- **Environmental Health Section:** No objection
- **Kingsbridge Town Council:** objection the following grounds:

1. The proposed development does not provide appropriate house sizes which conflicts with the Plymouth & South West Devon Joint Local Plan Policy DEV8: Meeting local housing need in the Thriving Towns and Villages Policy Area and the Supplementary Planning Document DEV8.1 & DEV8.1(i).

Reason: The open market housing mix proposal is 1 bed x 0, 2 bed x 9 at 25%, 3 bed x 8 at 22%, 4 bed x 17 at 47% and 5 bed x 2 at 6%. The JLP evidence base SHMNA Part 2 (which was quoted by SHDC in June 2019 to respond to a planning appeal in Kingsbridge) identifies a need for 1 bed x 5 at 13%, 2 bed x 13 at 36%, 3 bed x 12 at 33% and 4 bed x 6 at 18%.

The application fails to demonstrate an understanding of existing housing stock and does not justify the proposed open market mix. Moreover, the difference between the proposals and identified DEV8 and SHMNA Part 2 needs, particularly for 4 bedroom open market housing, is substantial and cannot be determined as acceptable.

2. The proposed affordable homes are not integrated into the whole development which conflicts with the Supplementary Planning Document DEV10.3.

Reason: Fourteen of the 16 homes have been positioned in 2 clusters of 5 and 9 on the east/lower site. The remaining 2 homes have been shoe-horned into the south east corner of the west/upper site. The affordable homes have not been truly distributed across the 2 sites and the proposal is an insincere attempt to endorse policy.

3. Inappropriate height, scale and massing of the proposed block of homes in the east/lower site adjacent to West Alvington Hill conflicts with the Plymouth & South West Devon Joint Local Plan Policies: DEV20 Place Shaping and the Quality of the Built Environment, DEV23 Landscape Character and DEV25 Nationally Protected Landscapes.

Reason: The proposals will

- depreciate the visual amenity,
- not conserve and enhance the natural beauty of the protected landscape,
- not maintain the area's local distinctiveness, and
- add an incongruous feature at a key gateway/route into the town

4. Insufficient drainage information has been provided and Devon County Council's Flood and Coastal Risk Management Team has not responded with its Recommendation to date which may not meet the requirements of Plymouth & South West Devon Joint Local Plan Policies: SPT1 Delivering Sustainable Development and DEV35 Managing Flood Risk and Water Quality Impacts.

Reason: KTC is unable to comment on drainage matters until DCC's opinion is known.

- **West Alvington Parish Council:** support (dated 21 August 2018 – in relation to now superseded plans, no detailed comments provided)
- **Police – Designing out Crime Officer:** No objection
- **Public Health:** no objection
- **Lead Local Flood Authority:** No objection
- **Open Space, Sports and Recreation:** No objection
- **South West Water:** No objection
- **Archaeology:** No objection
- **Affordable Housing:** No objection

Representations:

Letters of representation from 30 members of the public were received (some people made multiple submissions). Of those letters, 3 were 'undecided' and the remainder were objections. The comments are summarised below:

- The impact on the local infrastructure, in respect of schooling, senior and junior. Dental facilities, there are no NHS places available in Kingsbridge at present only private and if this is for new local housing, where would they go. Medical facilities in respect of NHS doctor surgery has also not been calculated. I believe this has not been addressed
- The application should consider a new review of the impact of traffic instead of using data from an earlier application for the same site between 2010 -2015. With it being in close proximity to KCC.
- I strongly object to the above application for the following reasons :-
 - 1.It greatly exceeds the boundaries of K5 and the outline permission granted in 1985.
 2. The top access will increase the danger to pupils accessing their playing fields and also will cause traffic congestion and danger on this very busy road.
 3. It will destroy the vistas available to all in this Area of OUTSTANDING Natural Beauty.
 4. Indeed when the two Government Inspectors recently examined the Joint Local Plan they were dismayed that insufficient weight had been given to the protection of the AONB which should have been given the highest status. They stated that sites (with some exceptions) including K5 should be deleted from the housing allocations.
 5. I cannot believe the South Hams Development Committee can possibly go against the Government Inspectors recommendations that this planning application be granted.
- This site was subject to a planning inquiry and the inspectors report is clear regarding the scale of development going up the hill and it's impact upon the AONB. Outline approval contained development to the lower slopes of the field. This application presents houses numbers 1 to 8 outside the development boundary the planning inspector said was acceptable. The scale of development is too large and will be detrimental to the AONB. The plans do not provide sufficient holding capacity for water run-off and will add to current flooding of the properties in Mill Street and around the Quay at the bottom of the hill from the site. This run off will have a polluting effect upon the SSSI and Local Marine Nature Reserve. The foul sewer network has been highlighted in the past as being insufficient capacity and so the applicant must ensure upgrading of the system is provided for. Traffic levels at peak times already make this location one of the worst air quality areas in the South Hams. The additional housing and related car numbers will increase the poor air quality conditions. Peak hour traffic will add to public safety dangers at the two new junctions and road crossing points.

The public rights of way need to be protected.

Provision should be made for additional off site landscaping including links to existing public rights of way.

- 1. PLANNING POLICIES AND GUIDANCE

Surely this current application to the council should be classed as an AMENDMENT to the OUTLINE planning granted in 2015

This current application is making a nonsense of an outline permission system which grants permission for a certain number of dwellings on a designated site.

If this is now progressed without recourse to the original plans to a permission to include these additional buildings and proceed with the whole new proposal for development it makes a nonsense of the whole planning system.

COMMUNITY FACILITIES

the effect of the greatly increased demand on our schools and other community facilities and the reduction of public open spaces

TRAFFIC GENERATION

It is proposed to increase greatly the number of buildings with consequences for traffic on an already crowded road

- This application is for a development significantly larger than the permission given in the outline planning submission.

There is less employment area than the outline planning submission.

The dwellings are larger than the outline planning submission.

There is a significant reduction in the green space than the outline planning submission.

The road access onto the A381 continues to be unsafe and based on road traffic data obtained during an unrealistic low peak traffic period.

There will be an increase in traffic on the A381 which even now is unsuitable for the pupil pedestrian traffic to the secondary school. This development puts children at higher risk.

This submission is not compliant with its outline planning permission and places higher risk on the children within the community.

- This new application is too large for the location, too many large houses next to public footpath, less affordable housing in unsuitable areas.

- No provision within the community for additional people with healthcare needs, pre school and schooling needs.

A great loss of very large and old established trees and natural landscape.

- I am curious to know if there is still provision for the footpath from West Alvington to Kingsbridge? I most certainly would not like to lose that.

Also, Mr Baker told me that his company will be paying quite a large sum towards facilities locally but he had no say in where it is spent. I would like to suggest that some could be spent in West Alvington. There is the disused old school playground which would make a fantastic resource for the village e.g. sitting area, outdoor gym, boules court etc.

I would appreciate your answer on these subjects. Thank you.

- I note the high number of public comments on increased traffic at the consultation event.

- Can you please explain what studies have been undertaken to assess the impact of increased traffic through West Alvington village (as a result of the above proposal)?

- Can you please outline any planned traffic mitigation measures in the light of the above?

- I represent Tally Ho! Coaches who operate from the Industrial Estate below this site.

We operate PSV's and start work from 06.30 often finishing late at night. Our vehicles have to start some 15 minutes before leaving the site (to build up air pressure and for safety checks) we make noise and emit some diesel fumes. It is essential the developers install noise insulation, we do not want to receive complaints from residents about early morning or late night noise, we have been here a long time and we are unable to vary our operating times or vehicle location.

The level of noise we generate would be accepted as normal for an Industrial Estate with PSV or HGV transport tenants.

Please will planners include a requirement for a survey of the noise levels and insist on acceptable prevention measures for the new residential areas?

- Absence of traffic assessment through West Alvington.

- Concerns regarding surface water drainage and flooding, as well as capacity of the foul drainage network.
- Low carbon development and design
- I am very concerned about the proposed height of the high rise flats on the lower eastern section of the site. These high buildings will not be conserving, protecting or enhancing the AONB in which they will sit. They will become a prominent eyesore from various view points in the local area. They will create a tunnel like feel as you approach the town down Westville Hill.
- Also it is not right to put families into this type of building, families need gardens and space so they can thrive.
- JLP policy Dev 8 - I am very concerned about the lack of 2 bedroom houses being built in the open market provision. I am a young professional person who desperately would like to buy a home in the town where I have lived all my life. If there isn't the low cost open market houses provided for me and many of my friends we will be forced to move away. Taking our skills and earnings away from the area. Making Kingsbridge become like Salcombe. Who needs 4 and 5 bedroom properties. Not local people I suspect.
- The South Hams Society wishes to object to this application on four main grounds:
 - Incompatibility with the South Devon AONB
 - Adverse visual impact
 - Encroachment on a PROW
 - Adverse impact on biodiversity and climate.
- Overshadowing & loss of light: It will lead to loss of light into nearby homes and will negatively impact on the skyline, being above the current tree level.
- Noise disturbance: it will increase noise levels and disturbance to current properties as street and property sounds are increased in an enclosed space (across a lowered street level).
- Over Dominance: it will dominate the landscape especially upon entering Kingsbridge from West Alvington. It will be out of character with the current housing stock.
- Impact on the local area's character: It will degrade the town's character as you drive up Westville Hill, the development creating an imposing and enclosed street with little or no outlook.
- Loss of parking on the main road.

NB – a number of the letters from Mr L Pengelly were lodged via this application and some are clearly marked as a formal complaint. That formal complaint has been dealt with outside of this planning application as it is a separate matter and process.

Relevant Planning History

Following the allocation of the site within the LDF Kingsbridge Site Allocations DPD, a master-planning exercise was undertaken that did not reach completion.

In January 2012, under planning reference 28/2907/12/SCROP a Screening Opinion application was submitted to the Council to determine whether the development was considered to be "EIA development" under the meaning of the 2011 Regulations and thus whether any planning application would require an Environmental Statement. The Local Planning Authority, following consultation with RSPB, Natural England, Environment Agency and internal Council services, did not consider that the proposed development constituted "EIA development" as the proposed development was not considered to be of more than local importance and was not likely to have significant effects on the environment in terms of factors such as nature, size and location.

An outline planning application reference 28_59/1232/13/O (with all Matters Reserved except Access) for "*erection of up to 82 dwellings, 0.7 hectares of employment land (Use Class*

B1/B2), 2 no. vehicular accesses, open space, play provision and drainage” was refused on 1st August 2013. The decision was issued with one refusal reason relating to the extent of the proposed development outside of the allocation site boundary and its effect on visual amenity, landscape and character of the AONB.

The refusal was appealed under reference APP/K1128/A/13/2210602, which was dismissed on 22nd August 2014. The Inspector, in dismissing the appeal, questioned the need for significant encroachment of the proposed housing scheme on higher land beyond the extent of the allocation in the adopted Development Plan, given the impact on the AONB.

Following that, a revised application was made and approved as detailed below:

28/0508/15/O

Site Address: Allocated Site K5, SX 7299 4407 and land directly west of allocated site, West Alvington Hill, Kingsbridge

Development: Outline application (with all matters reserved accept access) for erection of up to 60 no. dwellings, 0.5 hectares of employment land, 2 no. vehicular accesses, open space, play provision and drainage

Approved 27 July 2015.

ANALYSIS

Principle of Development/Sustainability:

Notwithstanding that, the principle of development has been established by the outline consent 28/0508/15/O and it is on that basis that this application seeks to provide details in relation to the reserved matters identified in that consent, along with a number of matters which planning conditions on that consent required to be addressed.

Kingsbridge is a Main Town in the JLP hierarchy of settlements and as such development in the town and adjacent to its boundaries accords with the strategic approach set out in policy TTV1. Policies SPT1 and SPT2 establish support for growth that delivers development in accordance with the principles of sustainable development centred on the three themes of a sustainable economy, a sustainable society and a sustainable environment. Policy SPT2 sets out 12 characteristics of development to deliver sustainable, linked neighbourhoods. These are covered in the topical sections of the report to follow and concludes that these policy requirements are, on balance, met.

The more detailed matters which are the subject of other plan policies are considered in the sections below.

Play and open Space

The Open Space Sports and Recreation Specialist comments require little further analysis so are copied out in full here:

The s106 agreement for the outline application secured the provision of “no less than 0.1ha of area for play and an area of no less than 0.25ha of other publically accessible open space shown indicatively on Plan 4 or of such other size or location as may be approved pursuant to reserved matters or otherwise agreed in writing between the Council and the Owner”. A financial contribution towards improvements of off-site sports and recreation facilities was also secured.

The revised layout shows the following areas of public open space:

- *Western parcel – land both sides of the access road, extending further east than previously and including land to the south of the access road (south of plots 1-5) which includes a play area (public open space marked as 603m² on soft landscaping plan although the whole area appears to measure c.2,000m²). Further linear landscaped space along the southern boundary and south-east corner (515m²).*
- *Eastern parcel – area in front of plots 29-37 (836m²), as well as an area in the south-west corner (449m²). Further linear landscaped space along the north-east boundary (1,097m²)*

The key public open space in the eastern parcel (in front of plots 29-37) is considered to provide a useable, overlooked space with amenity benefit as well as offering some play opportunities for residents in that parcel. The area in the south-west corner will provide some further amenity value, although I'm unclear from the boundary treatment plan whether this area will be accessible or not, and the topography will limit its use.

The main area in the western parcel, to the south of the access road and plots 1-5, is well overlooked and is considered to provide much more meaningful provision than that previously proposed.

The quantum of public open space provision now meets the requirements of the s106 agreement.

Notwithstanding comments from the Landscape Officer regarding planting proposals, I wonder whether there is potential for some further areas/strips of meadow/wildflower grass planting in the landscaped areas to the north of the main access road in the western parcel (strong lawn grass is currently proposed).

Play

The inclusion of play provision is welcomed as this was not shown on previous proposals, despite the s106 requirement.

Very limited detail regarding provision is shown, with the plans merely stating that this would be natural play equipment in the form of logs, stumps and boulders. Whilst natural play is welcome in this location, some more formal equipment, including swings, will be required in the play area in the western parcel – these could be timber to fit in with the natural play theme. More variety could also be introduced through the use of mounds with slides, scramble nets, tunnels etc and willow planting. I would recommend the addition/relocation of a bench close to the play provision in the western parcel, as well the addition of a picnic bench to provide seating for parents/carers. I would be happy to discuss the proposals with the Landscape Architect/Play Designer in more detail.

Whilst I would prefer full details this stage, this could be secured by a prior to occupation condition. This will need to include details of any proposed safety surfacing (suggested that bark or mulch may be the best option where required around play items), fencing and gates. Thought will need to be given regarding fencing of the play provision in the western parcel taking into account the proximity of the access road and the potential for conflict between users and dogs if fencing is not proposed.

The suggested conditions for further meadow grassed areas and the detail for the play equipment are reflected in the suggested scheme of conditions. With these the application accords with policies DEV20, DEV27 and DEV30.

Design & Landscape:

Comment were received from the Forestry commission – in response to that advice officers note there will be no loss or deterioration of the nearby Ancient Woodland as a result of this

application. The development boundary does not border the Ancient woodland to the north west of the site.

The Landscape Specialist comments have developed as the scheme has been amended. The concluding remarks are:

I note and support the additional and amended information that has been submitted in response to previous comments. Whilst these have largely addressed my earlier comments, there remain concerns over the blanket use of black asphalt throughout the site, with no change in material to break up the expanse of tarmac or to provide natural demarcation of different priority areas. Our strong preference, particularly in the AONB where the policy tests to 'conserve and enhance' are so high, would be to use concrete imprint paving in key areas, to the adoptable highways standard.

If you were minded to recommend approval of the application, alongside implementation of the landscape proposals and LEMP, please condition:

- *Full details and specification of the Rootlock/hydroseed bank*
- *Amended details for the turf faced Devon Bank (omitting the mesh and tie rods; please refer to Devon Hedge Group guidance on Hedge Creation for locally appropriate construction methodology).*

The design of the buildings proposed has been amended since the application was submitted. Originally proposed to be principally brick external finishes, the revised scheme is principally render with some stone facing. The submitted Design and Access Statement (DAS) includes an assessment of local building characteristics in Kingsbridge. The proposed architectural designs do not seek to mimic the character of the surrounding area, and instead uses key elements of the town character to provide a visual reference. This creates a distinct character for the site which does not rely on an attempt to copy. Materials have been chosen to reflect local vernacular and acknowledge the location of the site which needs to conserve and enhance the AONB. An example is the use of real slate for roofs and some areas of slate wall hanging, use of conservation curbs. Strong public/private property boundaries are formed by hedge planting in front gardens and walls. Existing local detailing such as traditional fenestration, porches and bay windows are not present in the proposed designs, but this enables the development to establish a modern character of its own and which, in combination with the traditional external materials, avoids pastiche. In this way the design provides a positive sense of place and identity and unique character which SPT2.10 identifies as a characteristic of a sustainable neighbourhood.

For these reasons the architectural design accords with the requirements of policies SPT1.3.v which seeks identifies the need for local distinctiveness and sense of place to be respected, maintained and strengthened through high standards of design.

The position of the site is adjacent to a key transport route into the town and with a main access opposite existing housing area and the town secondary school. The layout has largely been determined by the slope of the site which limits the options for road construction in order achieve acceptable gradients for vehicular and pedestrian movement around the site. Plans initially submitted proposed housing extending into the south western portion of the site and beyond the building line which was indicated in the outline application. However in response to local objections and discussions with the Development Management Senior Specialist and Landscape Specialist, the applicants involved an independent landscape architect to model and advise on the extent and form of buildings on the site. The aim was to

avoid the adverse visual and landscape character impacts earlier identified. The result of the additional assessment was to remove the 5 dwellings in the south western part of the site which is most elevated, and enables views from Footpath No 2 to be achieved of the town lower down in the valley. Some changes to individual building positions and the relationship between roof slopes were also made to avoid a distinct step and large exposed elevations in key views from north east.

The development retains the existing pedestrian footpath running along West Alvington Hill and along the southern edge of the site. As well as the two main entrance points to the eastern and western parts of the site, there are 2 further footpath links from Footpath No 2 into the development, and a footpath link from the main site entrance in the southwest, running along the northern edge of the estate road to serve the houses to reach the main area of public open space and play equipment located there. Where earlier plans had shown a grass verge, the applicant has responded to LPA request to amend to a hard surface to provide a usable surface all year, and ease of use for people with pushchairs and for able and less ambulant users. These footpath links connect logically and usefully into the existing network and meets with the requirements of policy DEV10.1 in that regard. The location of the public open space and main estate road adjacent to Footpath No. 2 enables views into the site and avoids hard urban edges, also as directed in policy DEV10.1.

Policy DEV10.2 Development proposals should look for opportunities to design out crime and the fear of crime in the layout of the development. Comment from the Police Designing out Crime Officer have been taken on board and access to rear gardens have been repositioned behind private boundaries to avoid unwanted access. Parking courts are exposed to the road and so natural surveillance should deter opportunistic crime.

DEV10.3 requires that *“affordable housing should be indistinguishable from other homes on the site, reflecting the type of housing on the development as a whole.”* This is the case for this site. Some letters from members of the public expressed concern that the affordable housing was mainly in the eastern part of the site which is separated from the western by Norden Lane, each part requiring its own vehicular access. However, location within the site of the mix of affordable housing needed to reflect local needs was largely determined by where the houses of that type were available. This in turn had been determined largely by the site constraints: needing to address the slope of the site for accessible roads and footways; visual impact of building types in key views; and creating a coherent streetscene. The result was that there are two main areas where affordable housing units are located, but both also include open market housing which is ‘tenure blind’. The clustering approach is adopted to assist housing providers which manage the affordable housing with maintenance and is an approach the Council’s Affordable Housing Specialist supports.

Policy DEV20: Place shaping and the quality of the built environment, requires development proposals to meet good standards of design, contribute positively to both townscape and landscape, and protect and improve the quality of the built environment through a number of criteria. Style, local distinctiveness, siting, layout, materials, detailing, orientation, visual impact, views, scale, massing, height, density (see DEV20.2 and .4) are already addressed in the preceding paragraphs.

DEV20.3 requires development to achieve *“utilisation of existing assets such as quality buildings, heritage assets, trees and landscape features and attention to the design details of the scheme.”* The scheme locates larger properties in the western part of the site, which have a larger footprint which can more easily accommodate the slope of the land. Near the western site entrance, buildings are cut into the slope of the land so that they appear 1 – 1.5

stories when approaching from the west and when seen in pedestrian views using Footpath No2. The western site entrance retains open green space on either side, some set out as meadow areas, plus the play area. The road will be tree lined creating a quality entrance to the site which has rural characteristics. As one travels east into the site the building transition from the lower height buildings to more standard 2-3 stories as the slope of the site descends and development becomes more dense in the less exposed and visually sensitive areas. Overall therefore the development does accord with this policy requirement.

Overall the detailed design considerations are positively addressed, and given the site constraints the developer has been able to respond successfully to policy requirements. Notwithstanding that, as explained above, a change to the material for the road surface to something other than asphalt/tarmac would be a significant further improvement which would better respond to the landscape policy requirements at a local and national level to *conserve and enhance* the South Devon AONB.

Overall the design and landscape considerations weigh in favour of the reserved matters application.

Neighbour Amenity:

The site is not immediately adjacent to any existing dwellings in that it does not directly border other private residential property boundaries. Existing residential development to the south of the site is separated by West Alvington Hill and those houses are set at a higher level than the development site. The position of the public open space in the western portion of the site, in combination with the footpath and West Alvington Hill road, combine to create a suitable offset distance between existing dwellings and proposed such that no adverse amenity impacts in terms of overlooking, noise, or shadowing would be expected to result from the proposed development. It is recognised that this is not the perspective of some local people who wrote letters of objection to the scheme. Because of the location of the school opposite the western part of the site, and the large grass verge at its entrance, it is only the properties east of Ashleigh Road which face toward the site. These are elevated approximately 3m above the ground level and set back approximately 4m. The northern boundary of West Alvington Hill, which they face, is marked by a mature hedge and trees which will be retained. The only clear gap in this vegetation is opposite 1 Waverly Road which is oriented with a windowless elevation facing the site creating no privacy issues for occupants of either existing or proposed properties.

South of Norden Lane and Waverly Road, the existing houses south of the site are set back even further from the road and at a higher ground level than described above. Opposite the proposed location for the flats, existing properties face a mature tree screen between them and the road, beyond which the flats will be located. While the proposed buildings in the eastern part of the site are likely to be visible to existing properties, the setback distances (and intervening vegetation in some locations) combine to avoid a threat to privacy, and dominance.

Notwithstanding the above considerations, new residential development in close proximity to other residential development is in principle acceptable with obvious land use compatibilities.

Council Waste Services provided earlier comments on the bin storage and collection points. These were addressed by the applicant in most recently revised drawings.

Highways/Access:

There is no objection to the proposed development from the Highways Authority, but it is noted that its remit is restricted largely to issues of highways safety and design to meet adoptable highways standards (for example to ensure emergency services access and waste collection). The levels for the highway and footways within the site are not shown on plans although this has been requested in order to establish which, if any, areas are shared surface, and how on-street parking can be managed to avoid obstructing footways and maintain safe pedestrian access. The LPA's request for imprint concrete (a product which appears to be paved/cobbled surface available in various designs), has not been taken up by the applicant which is disappointing. As considered in the design section above, the extent of standard asphalt/tarmac on the site for parking courts, driveways and the highway and footways is a standard approach which has low maintenance costs and is used widely across the District. However, this being a site in the AONB and therefore having to demonstrate a higher design standard in order to meet the policy test to "conserve and enhance" the AONB, a different material was discussed with Highways who indicated imprint concrete was the preferred of various alternative options. While the LPA can be advised future repairs would be carried out in asphalt due to DCC budgetary constraints, it is possible that in future years when wear and tear may demand repairs, budgets may be less tight, and a common sense approach to repair the public highways in like for like material may then prevail. The LPA has enquired how the specification of repairs by statutory undertakers are instructed by the Highways Authority as it seems there may be an opportunity to establish a like for like repair in those cases where the Highways Authority is not having to meet the cost.

Initial plans for this development did not show a satisfactory parking layout, with an under provision of parking spaces and some poorly arranged within the site. Amendments to the plans have addressed these concerns and it is considered that there is adequate parking, including some visitor parking spaces and opportunity for overflow parking on the street. For some larger properties garages are provided in addition to parking space. While the LPA does not generally count garages as parking spaces, understanding that occupants tend to use them for household storage or uses other than storing cars, in this case the Applicant has designed garages which are larger than is commonly provided (e.g. the integral garage for house-type 22 measures 7.5m x 3m. Where 2 of the garages are double garages, there are also 2 parking spaces on site and so it is likely that at least 1 car would be parked off road and out of sight. Planning Specialists of this Council have also advised that on other South Hams developments built by Baker Estates, to a similar design, residents are parking large SUVs in the garages provided.

There are 4 properties which have their second parking space located outside the property boundary as an allocated on street parking space. These spaces are located as close to the houses as is possible and are visible from the properties which offers some natural surveillance. While this is not an ideal arrangement, the unusual shape and steep slope of the site is a considerable constraint and this is a reasonable solution to ensure adequate parking spaces are provided for these 3 bedroomed homes.

There is a small parking court in the western portion of the site, the character of which is enhanced by proposed tree planting and vegetation to break up the banked car parking and in an effort to appear as a designed outside space. The eastern portion of the site is also served by parking courts and a long section of banked parking. In front of plots 38 & 39 and 44 – 52 (the flats), there is a tree and some vegetation and grass proposed which is preferable to the otherwise heavily engineered areas around the buildings which is necessary deal with the site levels and to provide adequate parking for the number of dwellings provided. It is unfortunate that there is not enough room to provide more green wedges between the banked car-parking serving plots 29 – 37, but with the number of dwellings

proposed there is no spare space while also providing pedestrian access and bin storage/collection points.

Overall, the proposed layout does meet criteria 3 of policy DEV29 which requires developments to *“Ensure sufficient provision and management of car parking in order to protect the amenity of surrounding residential areas and ensure safety of the highway network.”*

The proposed planning condition for provision of electric car charging points by the Environmental Health Specialist is noted and would suitably respond to policies SPT1, DEV2 and DEV32. These would be difficult to deliver in some of the parking areas as shown on the proposed site layout, in particular the banked parking serving the affordable housing and the block of flats, which is not ideal. However, a significant portion of overall parking areas could be served by a charging point scheme and so these policy requirements is met through by the recommended planning condition.

All but two plots on the western portion of the site have a garage or rear access to their garden which would assist with safe bike storage. However a scheme as required by condition 5 of the outline consent is required before any dwelling is occupied. Of particular concern is that bike storage is made available for the flats. It is noted there is a void under the building which might offer an option for secure storage.

The site is within practicable walking distance of the town, and is connected by a pavement for pedestrian access to community facilities and public transport. Earlier sections describe how pedestrian movements around and within the site have been considered and determined to be acceptable.

Overall, the highways aspects of the development with particular regard to parking and sustainable travel are acceptable, and further improvements should be secured through the discharge of condition 5. In this regard the development accords with policies SPT1, SPT2 and DEV29.

Historic Environment

Immediately north of the north western part of the site, separated only by Kingsbridge Footpath No3 which runs roughly east-west along Norden Lane, is Norden House which is a listed building and therefore a designated heritage asset. While the site and Norden House are in close proximity, due to the change in levels, the extent of mature vegetation in the grounds of Norden House and protected hedge-banks either side of the footpath, there are no intervening views of the site from that property. When walking along Norden Lane and peering through the boundary vegetation it was difficult to see Norden House from the public footpath, and there are no open views into the site to the south. Taking this into account, there appears to be no adverse impact on the setting of Norden House resulting from the detailed design of the reserved matters application under consideration here. As such the development satisfactorily accords with the requirements of policies SPT11: Strategic approach to the historic environment and DEV21: Development affecting the historic environment. The absence of adverse impacts in this respect is neutral in the planning balance.

Economic Impacts

If this application were to be refused, the result would be that the Outline consent expires, and so the employment land included in the Outline consent, along with the other commitments in the s106 would be lost. Delivering this development would provide an

opportunity for job creation, contracts for existing local businesses in the construction industry and training which would be secured through measures set out in an employment and skills plan as required by condition 12 to meet Policy DEV19.

In keeping the outline consent, the approval of this application would enable the delivery of an employment site contributing to policy DEV14: Maintaining a flexible mix of employment sites, and DEV15: Supporting the rural economy. This weighs in favour of the reserved matters application.

Biodiversity

When consulted in relation to the outline application the Council's Natural Environment Team responded with no objection 'with regard to trees and hedges and ecology'. Reference was made to the retained field being managed for the benefit of curlew buntings and managed in accordance with a Landscape & Ecological Management Plan to be provided. That requirement was secured through Condition 18 of the outline consent. A LEMP was therefore submitted as part of the information seeking to address the associated planning conditions alongside this reserved matters application.

The Biodiversity Specialist is satisfied that requirements have been met in full both for onsite habitats/protected species requirements, and for the retained field – effectively condition 18 being met. The Biodiversity Specialist also makes two suggestions picking up on points made by other consultees:

- *Inclusion of further areas of meadow/wildflower grass in association with the public open space towards the western end of the site (adjacent to the new road access) – I am unclear as to why this wouldn't have been specified as a matter of course – this currently shown as 'strong lawn grass' on the Soft Landscaping Proposals. This need not necessarily be the entirety of this POS area, but I would suggest the majority would be warranted – the biodiversity benefits are clear. (This supporting the point made by Alexis Huggins).*
- *Within the retained field for curlew buntings and reptiles, Helen Jessop (RSPB) usefully notes that while the LEMP states "annual cut of one third of total grassland area on rotation; all cut materials to be collected and removed" it does not indicate which parts of the site would fall into each third. I support Helen's recommendation that 'mowing should be planned avoid cutting all grassland adjacent to boundary hedges in the same year – strips across the extent of the area would be best and ideally those strips should have 'wavy' edges.'*

To address this planning conditions will require these amendments to the soft landscaping plan and the mowing specification can be captured as an additional detail in a planning condition to complement the LEMP.

Taking these details into account, therefore the reserved matters application meets the requirements of JLP policies SPT1 and DEV26.

Drainage

The Lead Local Flood Authority has raised no in-principle objection to the application, from a surface water drainage perspective, and also advised that sufficient information has been submitted to discharge condition 12 of the outline consent.

The LLFA specifically notes that:

“The applicant has proposed a feasible drainage solution encompassing permeable paving and an attenuation tank to restrict flows to greenfield rates, incorporating Critical Drainage Area requirements, prior to discharge into the Westville watercourse. Infiltration has been assessed at the site, in accordance with the surface water management hierarchy however due to the gradient of the site and concerns over groundwater re-emergence downstream and slope stability as well as high groundwater in parts of the site, infiltration based techniques were deemed not viable. Attenuation storage up to the 1 in 100 year plus 30% (which was the requirement in terms of climate change at the outline stage) will be achieved in the attenuation tank. The applicant is proposing a betterment in terms of surface water discharge rates compared to what was agreed at the outline stage; previously 36 l/s was proposed and now 9.9 l/s is proposed.

Silt traps and smart gullies will offer treatment of runoff including removal of potential hydrocarbons prior to discharge into Westville.

Unfortunately due to the steep gradient of this site, above ground sustainable drainage systems are not viable therefore options were limited with regards to sustainable drainage systems. Never the less, the applicant is proposing permeable paving towards the north east of the site to act as source control and to provide treatment of the runoff at this location.

During construction, runoff will be managed via catch pits and ditches placed in strategic locations allowing attenuation and sedimentation of the runoff.”

South West Water also responded to consultation to advise they had no objection to the indicative foul drainage details which will connect to the existing main sewer as shown on the submitted indicative plans. A planning condition secures any additional information necessary and implementation of the detailed scheme.

The requirements of policies DEV1, DEV2 and DEV35 relating to water quality, amenity, drainage and flooding matters therefore adequately dealt with.

Housing mix/affordable housing

The proposed housing mix is summarised as follows:

1 and 2 bed units	20	38%
3 bed units	12	23%
4 + bed units	20	38%
Total:	52	100%

The number of affordable units provided (16 units) is compliant with the agreed S106 agreement. Earlier plans which included 2 x one bedroom, one person units have been amended in response to Affordable Housing Specialist advice that one bedroom housing need is generally for two person occupation. This accords with policy DEV9.

The Strategic Housing Market Needs Assessment that formed part of the evidence base for the Joint Local Plan specifically set out the housing mix needed for each Parish in order to address any imbalances in the local housing stock. Extensive discussions have taken place between the LPA and Applicants to agree a suitable housing mix for this site which reflects

what we know about the current housing provision in the two wards this site encompasses and would address rather than worsen any skew that was evident.

Policy DEV8 which seeks “*to deliver a wide choice of high quality homes which widen opportunities for home ownership, meet needs for social and rented housing, and create sustainable, inclusive and mixed communities.*” However, and crucially, the housing mix was not specified to be a requirement of the reserved matters when the outline consent was approved. This limits the control which the LPA has in this respect, although it is recognised as an important component of whether the proposed development meets other strategic policy requirements which are relevant to this application, in particular policy SPT2 which requires that developments “*have a good balance of housing types and tenures to support a range of household sizes, ages and incomes to meet identified housing needs ... [and] ... promote resilience to future change by ensuring a well-balanced demographic profile with equal access to housing and services.*”

Low Carbon Development

Condition 22 of the outline consents reads:

Prior to construction of any of the dwellings above slab level (or alternatively in accordance with a previously agreed timetable for the submission of the details set out below), details of how at least 10% of the energy supply of the development shall be secured from a decentralised renewable or low-carbon energy supply, including an implementation programme, and/or details of how the energy supply of the development shall be reduced through the use of energy efficiency measures secured through a 'fabric first' approach (this should meet at least a 10% reduction and shall include an implementation programme) shall have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details and retained in operation thereafter.

Reason: To ensure that the dwellings are built in a way to minimise energy consumption and harmful emissions.

The applicant has not applied to discharge this condition alongside the reserved matters submission but the requirement still remains to do so ‘prior to construction of any of the dwellings above slab level’. Policy DEV32 of the Joint Local Plan places slightly different requirements on new developments with the aim of delivering a low carbon future for Plymouth and South West Devon and in support of a Plan Area target to halve 2005 levels of carbon emissions by 2034 and to increase the use and production of decentralised energy. To do this DEV32 sets out certain criteria as follows:

1. Developments should identify opportunities to minimise the use of natural resources in the development over its lifetime, such as water, minerals and consumable products, by reuse or recycling of materials in construction, and by making best use of existing buildings and infrastructure.

2. Major development should take account of projected changes in temperature, rainfall, wind and sea level in its design with the aim of mitigating and remaining resilient to the effects of changing climate.

3. Development proposals will be considered in relation to the ‘energy hierarchy’ set out below:

- i. Reducing the energy load of the development.*
- ii. Maximising the energy efficiency of fabric.*

- iii. Delivering on-site low carbon or renewable energy systems.*
- iv. Delivering carbon reductions through off-site measures.*

4. Developments should reduce the energy load of the development by good layout, orientation and design to maximise natural heating, cooling and lighting, and reduce the heat loss area. For major developments, a solar master plan should show how access to natural light has been optimised in the development, aiming to achieve a minimum daylight standard of 27 per cent Vertical Sky Component and 10 per cent Winter Probable Sunlight Hours.

5. All major development proposals should incorporate low carbon or renewable energy generation to achieve regulated carbon emissions levels of 20 per cent less than that required to comply with Building Regulations Part L.

6. Developments will be required to connect to existing district energy networks in the locality or, where there is a future network planned, to be designed to be capable of connection to that network. Where appropriate, proportionate contributions

Criteria 3 and 5 of that policy are covered in the wording of condition 22. Criteria 6 is not relevant. If Members felt it was appropriate to apply a further requirement on the applicants to reflect the updated policy position relating from the adoption of the JLP since the outline consent was given, and to reflect the Council's declaration of a Climate Change Emergency, then criteria 1 and 2 could be addressed by the applicant in a statement to be submitted before development commences. Criteria 4 is not a concern on this site due to the slope and orientation of the buildings which generally face north-east to south-west providing good levels of natural light into the proposed homes. Furthermore, there is very little option for an alternative arrangement on site due to the levels which somewhat dictate the route of the access roads and the position of houses in order to address landscape and character matters.

Taking into account the existing requirement of condition 22 on the outline consent and scope for further supporting detail to address criteria 1 and 2 by way of a condition on the reserved matters application, the requirements of policies SPT1, SPT2 and DEV32 are met by this application.

Other Matters

JLP policy DEV30: Meeting the community infrastructure needs of new homes requires that developers plan sites to meet the needs of the occupants and increased pressure they would place on existing community services. The s106 attached to the outline consent secured various contributions as indicated in at the start of this report and so no further consideration is necessary.

With respect to JLP policy DEV31: Waste Management, the Highways Authority have assessed the layout to ensure the development is accessible to household waste collection vehicles and raised no objection in that regard. Smaller dwellings include facilities for the storage of recyclable and non-recyclable waste in gardens or shared bin storage areas while larger dwellings have their own bin stores within the property curtilage to the front of the dwellings (as marked on the Bin Storage Strategy drawing 171202 L 02 05 Rev L).

The LPA Assets Specialist has advised that a s257 PROW Diversion Order application has been received from the applicant relating to diversion of the footpath which crosses the new access road to the development site, and it is being processed separately (and concurrently).

Building regulations require the installation of ducting for high speed broadband, so a specific condition is not required to that. The applicant has also advised that it intends to notify BT Openreach of its intention to commence development in the requisite timeframe that will commit them to deliver high-speed broadband to the development.

Contamination risk from groundworks is accounted for by condition 24 of the outline consent.

There are some procedural matters which are raised in letter received from the public consultation in relation to the scope of this application and whether it is strictly reserved matters. As the start of this report notes, some conditions are also being discharged to accompany the reserved matters application as required by the outline consent. Some letters also comment on earlier versions of the plans which are now superseded and so, in particular comment that the development extends beyond the area the appeal inspector advised have been addressed.

Conclusion

The reasons for refusal (contained in the decision which was later quashed) which related to parking provision, external materials, architectural design, scale and massing and in combination effects of those aspects on landscape and visual amenity, the provision of open space, housing mix and drainage matters have all been addressed by the revised scheme. With the removal of 5 of the larger dwellings in the western part of the site, landscape impacts have been addressed through improved layout. Materials have been changed from principally brick to a mix of render and stone facing with real slate proposed for all roofs. The fewer dwellings enabled creation of a larger area of open space and an equipped play area. Parking arrangements were addressed with more scope for on street parking and additional on plot parking spaces. Changes to the position and proportions of some dwellings has successfully addressed character concerns relating to visual impact in distant views of the site. The LLFA have removed their objection as a result of additional information relating to drainage, and housing mix has been adjusted and offers a suitable mix to meet a range of local housing needs.

The reserved matters application and accompanying details intended to address some of the conditions of the outline consent (28/0508/15/O) have been assessed to be policy compliant in terms of the key material considerations relevant to this site. Where the LPA previously had significant concerns regarding adverse impacts on landscape and local character and amenity, architectural design and layout, later revisions which have been subject to public consultation have addressed those concerns.

The detailed plans for the development now being determined will deliver 52 homes in one of the District's Key Towns, on a site with an extant outline planning consent. There are no objections from statutory consultees and the objections raised in letters have been addressed through explanation in the detail of this report and through the use of suggested planning conditions.

There are no demonstrable adverse impacts as a result of this development and so it is considered to be sustainable in terms of the economic, social and environmental elements set out in policy SPT1 and the NPPF and the recommendation is therefore to approve, subject to conditions.

This application has been considered in accordance with Section 38 of the Planning & Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 and with Section 66 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990.

Planning Policy

Section 70 of the 1990 Town and Country Planning Act requires that regard be had to the development plan, any local finance and any other material considerations. Section 38(6) of the 2004 Planning and Compensation Act requires that applications are to be determined in accordance with the development plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise. For the purposes of decision making, as of March 26th 2019, the development plan for Plymouth City Council, South Hams District Council and West Devon Borough Council (other than parts South Hams and West Devon within Dartmoor National Park) comprises the Plymouth & South West Devon Joint Local Plan 2014 - 2034.

Following adoption of the Plymouth & South West Devon Joint Local Plan by all three of the component authorities, monitoring will be undertaken at a whole plan level. At the whole plan level, the combined authorities have a Housing Delivery Test percentage of 166%. This requires a 5% buffer to be applied for the purposes of calculating a 5 year land supply at a whole plan level. When applying the 5% buffer, the combined authorities can demonstrate a 5-year land supply of 6.5 years at the point of adoption.

Adopted policy names and numbers may have changed since the publication of the Main Modifications version of the JLP.

The relevant development plan policies are set out below:

The Plymouth & South West Devon Joint Local Plan was adopted by South Hams District Council on March 21st 2019 and West Devon Borough Council on March 26th 2019.

SPT1 Delivering sustainable development
SPT2 Sustainable linked neighbourhoods and sustainable rural communities
SPT3 Provision for new homes
SPT4 Provision for employment floorspace
SPT9 Strategic principles for transport planning and strategy
SPT10 Balanced transport strategy for growth and healthy and sustainable communities
SPT11 Strategic approach to the Historic environment
SPT12 Strategic approach to the natural environment
SPT13 Strategic infrastructure measures to deliver the spatial strategy
SPT14 European Protected Sites – mitigation of recreational impacts from development
TTV1 Prioritising growth through a hierarchy of sustainable settlements
TTV2 Delivering sustainable development in the Thriving Towns and Villages Policy Area
TTV26 Development in the Countryside
DEV1 Protecting health and amenity
DEV2 Air, water, soil, noise, land and light
DEV3 Sport and recreation
DEV4 Playing pitches
DEV8 Meeting local housing need in the Thriving Towns and Villages Policy Area

DEV9 Meeting local housing need in the Plan Area
DEV10 Delivering high quality housing
DEV14 Maintaining a flexible mix of employment sites
DEV15 Supporting the rural economy
DEV19 Provisions for local employment and skills
DEV20 Place shaping and the quality of the built environment
DEV21 Development affecting the historic environment
DEV23 Landscape character
DEV25 Nationally protected landscapes
DEV26 Protecting and enhancing biodiversity and geological conservation
DEV27 Green and play spaces
DEV28 Trees, woodlands and hedgerows
DEV29 Specific provisions relating to transport
DEV30 Meeting the community infrastructure needs of new homes
DEV31 Waste management
DEV32 Delivering low carbon development
DEV35 Managing flood risk and Water Quality Impacts
DEL1 Approach to development delivery and viability, planning obligations and the Community Infrastructure Levy

Other material considerations include the policies of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and guidance in Planning Practice Guidance (PPG). Additionally, the following planning documents are also material considerations in the determination of the application: National Design Guide, South Devon AONB Management Plan.

Considerations under Human Rights Act 1998 and Equalities Act 2010

The provisions of the Human Rights Act 1998 and Equalities Act 2010 have been taken into account in reaching the recommendation contained in this report.

Conditions

1. The development hereby approved shall in all respects accord strictly with drawing number(s) [to follow]...

Reason: To ensure that the proposed development is carried out in accordance with the drawings forming part of the application to which this approval relates.

2. Prior to its installation, full details and specification of any Rootlock/hydroseed bank shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority and implemented in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To ensure that the proposed technique is effectively implemented in the interests of visual amenity.

3. Prior to construction of any of the dwellings above slab level, details shall be submitted to the Local Planning Authority of proposed electric vehicle charging points to be provided. These details shall include the location, number and power rating of the charging points. The electric car charging provision shall accord with good practice

guidance on mitigating air quality impacts from developments produced by the Institute of Air Quality Management. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the agreed details and shall be made available for use prior to the first occupation of the building(s) to which they relate, and retained thereafter as such.

Reason: To protect air quality and support sustainable development in accordance with Joint Local Plan policy DEV2 and NPPF paragraph 148.

4. Notwithstanding the details contained within the Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) dated May 2020 and the Soft Landscaping Proposals Drwg No. 11728/PO7 Rev C, prior to creation of the green areas on the western public open space, details of additional areas of meadow/wildflower grass shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall then be carried out in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: In the interests of biodiversity and landscape amenity within the South Devon AONB.

5. Notwithstanding the details contained within the Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) dated May 2020, prior to the creation of the grassland mitigation area in paragraph 3.5.6, plans that identify the areas that will be cut on the annual rotation shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority. The plans shall include scalloped edges to the mown areas and avoid the cutting of all grassland adjacent to boundary hedges in the same year. Thereafter, the approved details shall be attached to the LEMP and form part of the ongoing management of the area.

Reason: In the interests of clarity of LEMP specifications and biodiversity interests.

6. Prior to the formation and installation of the play areas, details of the play equipment and natural play features shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall then be carried out in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: In the interests of visual amenity, landscape amenity with respect to the location within the South Devon AONB and to ensure engaging and robust play provision is provided.

7. Prior to their installation details and samples of all facing materials and roofing materials to be used in the construction of the proposed development shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall then be carried out in accordance with those samples as approved.

Reason: In the interests of visual amenity.

8. All gates to rear gardens shall be same height as adjoining wall/fence and shall be lockable from both sides using a long throw key lockable bolt or any other similar lock

that has previously been submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority.

Reason: in the interest of community safety.

9. Notwithstanding the requirements of condition 22 of the outline planning consent ref 28/0508/15/O, prior to construction of any of the dwellings above slab level (or alternatively in accordance with a previously agreed timetable for the submission of the details set out below), a statement to show the following:
- (i) How the development minimises the use of natural resources such as water, minerals and consumable products, by reuse or recycling of materials in construction, and
 - (ii) How the development takes account of projected changes in temperature, rainfall, wind and sea level in its design with the aim of mitigating and remaining resilient to the effects of changing climate.

shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To ensure that the dwellings are built in a way to minimise use of natural resources and remain resilient to climate change in accordance with the provisions of Policy DEV32 of the Joint Local Plan.

This page is intentionally left blank

South Hams District Council Agenda Item 7

DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE 8-Jul-20

Appeals Update from 28-Feb-20 to 28-Jun-20

Ward Bickleigh and Cornwood

APPLICATION NUMBER : **0153/19/FUL** APP/K1128/W/19/3237609
APPELLANT NAME: Mr & Mrs C & R Hattersley
PROPOSAL : Subdivision of dwelling (5 bedrooms) into 2 dwellings (2 bed and 3 bed dwellings)
LOCATION : Rose Cottage Station Road Bickleigh PL6 7AL
APPEAL STATUS : Appeal decided
APPEAL START DATE: 31-October-2019
APPEAL DECISION: Dismissed (Refusal)
APPEAL DECISION DATE: 06-March-2020

Ward Blackawton and Stoke Fleming

APPLICATION NUMBER : **0738/19/VAR** 3241910
APPELLANT NAME: Yellow Bridge Developments Ltd
PROPOSAL : Application for removal of condition 5 of planning consent 51/2151/14/VAR.
(Unit 3 restricted to holiday/ staff accommodation)
LOCATION : Hillfield Village Bugford Cross To Yeomans Hillfield TQ6 0LX
APPEAL STATUS : Appeal decided
APPEAL START DATE: 15-January-2020
APPEAL DECISION: Dismissed (Refusal)
APPEAL DECISION DATE: 21-April-2020

APPLICATION NUMBER : **0743/19/VAR** APP/K1128/W/19/3241914
APPELLANT NAME: Yellow Bridge Developments
PROPOSAL : Application for removal of condition 1 of planning consent 51/1451/05/F
(Residential accommodation restricted to holiday accommodation)
LOCATION : Hillfield Village Bugford Cross To Yeomans Hillfield TQ6 0LX
APPEAL STATUS : Appeal decided
APPEAL START DATE: 15-January-2020
APPEAL DECISION: Dismissed (Refusal)
APPEAL DECISION DATE: 21-April-2020

APPLICATION NUMBER : **0745/19/VAR** APP/K1128/W/19/3241916
APPELLANT NAME: Yellow Bridge Developments Ltd
PROPOSAL : Application for removal of condition 3 of planning consent
4037/16/FUL. (Residential accommodation restricted to holiday accommodation).
LOCATION : Hillfield Village Bugford Cross To Yeomans Hillfield TQ6 0LX
APPEAL STATUS : Appeal decided
APPEAL START DATE: 15-January-2020
APPEAL DECISION: Dismissed (Refusal)
APPEAL DECISION DATE: 21-April-2020

APPLICATION NUMBER : **0746/19/VAR** APP/K1128/W/19/3241924
APPELLANT NAME: Yellow Bridge Developments Ltd
PROPOSAL : Application for removal of condition 2 of planning consent
06_51/0561/01/F (Residential accommodation restricted to holiday accommodation)
LOCATION : Hillfield Village Bugford Cross To Yeomans Hillfield TQ6 0LX
APPEAL STATUS : Appeal decided
APPEAL START DATE: 15-January-2020
APPEAL DECISION: Dismissed (Refusal)
APPEAL DECISION DATE: 21-April-2020

APPLICATION NUMBER : **0748/19/VAR** APP/K1128/W/19/3241927
APPELLANT NAME: Yellow Bridge Developments Ltd
PROPOSAL : Application for removal of condition 10 following grant of planning
permission 06_51/2102/06/F (Residential accommodation restricted to holiday accommodation)
LOCATION : Hillfield Village Bugford Cross To Yeomans Hillfield TQ6 0LX
APPEAL STATUS : Appeal decided

APPEAL START DATE: 15-January-2020
APPEAL DECISION: Dismissed (Refusal)
APPEAL DECISION DATE: 21-April-2020

APPLICATION NUMBER : **0749/19/VAR** APP/K1128/W/19/3241931
APPELLANT NAME: Yellow Bridge Developments Ltd
PROPOSAL : Application for removal condition 8 of planning permission 0762/18/VAR(Residential accommodation restricted to holiday accommodation)
LOCATION : Hillfield Village Bugford Cross To Yeomans Hillfield TQ6 0LX
APPEAL STATUS : Appeal decided
APPEAL START DATE: 15-January-2020
APPEAL DECISION: Dismissed (Refusal)
APPEAL DECISION DATE: 21-April-2020

APPLICATION NUMBER : **0752/19/VAR** APP/K1128/W/19/3241935
APPELLANT NAME: Yellow Bridge Developments Ltd
PROPOSAL : Application for removal of condition 8 of planning permission 0762/18/VAR (Residential accommodation restricted to holiday accommodation)
LOCATION : Hillfield Village Bugford Cross To Yeomans Hillfield TQ6 0LX
APPEAL STATUS : Appeal decided
APPEAL START DATE: 15-January-2020
APPEAL DECISION: Dismissed (Refusal)
APPEAL DECISION DATE: 21-April-2020

APPLICATION NUMBER : **0753/19/VAR** APP/K1128/W/19/3241936
APPELLANT NAME: Yellow Bridge Developments Ltd
PROPOSAL : Application for removal of condition 8 of planning permission 3554/16/VAR (Residential accommodation restricted to holiday accommodation)
LOCATION : Hillfield Village Bugford Cross To Yeomans Hillfield TQ6 0LX
APPEAL STATUS : Appeal decided
APPEAL START DATE: 15-January-2020
APPEAL DECISION: Dismissed (Refusal)
APPEAL DECISION DATE: 21-April-2020

Ward Charterlands

APPLICATION NUMBER : **1400/19/TPO** APP/TPO/K1128/7582
APPELLANT NAME: Mr Duncan Currall
PROPOSAL : T791: Beech - Remove branch touching ash tree in adjacent church yard;T792: Beech - Crown lift over site (North) to remove secondary branches up to 4m, remove lowest western branch originating at 2.5m growing over new garage; T797: Beech - Fell; T804: Beech - Remove epicormics growth at base, remove lowest branch West originating at 9m; T811: Beech - Remove the lowest limb over the road
LOCATION : Cobblestones Church Lane Modbury Devon PL21 0TL
APPEAL STATUS : Appeal decided
APPEAL START DATE: 29-August-2019
APPEAL DECISION: Split decision
APPEAL DECISION DATE: 27-March-2020

APPLICATION NUMBER : **3126/19/FUL** APP/K1128/W/20/3249586
APPELLANT NAME: Mr & Mrs Freeman
PROPOSAL : Proposed Dwelling (Re-submission of 1768/19/FUL)
LOCATION : Field At Sx 641 480 Lower Gaberwell
APPEAL STATUS : Appeal Lodged
APPEAL START DATE: 09-June-2020
APPEAL DECISION:
APPEAL DECISION DATE:

Ward Dartington and Staverton

APPLICATION NUMBER : **3576/18/CLE** APP/K1128/X/19/3223072
APPELLANT NAME: Mr & Mrs Parsons
PROPOSAL : Lawful development certificate for mixed use of land for ancillary residential activities and the parking and storage of plant, machinery and materials in connection with the owner's landscaping business and training enterprise.
LOCATION : Spring House Dartington TQ9 6EU
APPEAL STATUS : Appeal decided

APPEAL START DATE: 12-November-2019
APPEAL DECISION: Dismissed (Refusal)
APPEAL DECISION DATE: 12-May-2020

Ward Dartmouth and East Dart

APPLICATION NUMBER : **0499/19/HHO** APP/K1128/D/19/3236294
APPELLANT NAME: Mr P Knight
PROPOSAL : Householder application for alteration and extension to existing dwelling to provide an additional bedroom with en-suite and large living area
LOCATION : 37 Churchfields Dartmouth Devon TQ6 9HH
APPEAL STATUS : Appeal decided
APPEAL START DATE: 07-January-2020
APPEAL DECISION: Dismissed (Refusal)
APPEAL DECISION DATE: 09-March-2020

APPLICATION NUMBER : **0842/17/FUL** APP/K1128/W/20/3248210
APPELLANT NAME: Mr & Mrs Merotra
PROPOSAL : READVERTISEMENT (Revised Plans and Application Form) Construction of new build dwelling with associated landscaping within the curtilage of a grade II listed building
LOCATION : Ashleigh House Fore Street Kingswear TQ6 0AD
APPEAL STATUS : Appeal Lodged
APPEAL START DATE: 13-May-2020
APPEAL DECISION:
APPEAL DECISION DATE:

APPLICATION NUMBER : **0904/19/FUL** APP/K1128/W/20/3248200
APPELLANT NAME: Mr & Mrs :L Thorneywork
PROPOSAL : READVERTISEMENT (Revised Plans) New one bedroom dwelling at base of garden
LOCATION : 8 Thurlestone Gardens Dartmouth TQ6 9HG
APPEAL STATUS : Appeal Lodged
APPEAL START DATE: 13-May-2020
APPEAL DECISION:
APPEAL DECISION DATE:

APPLICATION NUMBER : **1296/19/FUL** APP/K1128/W/20/3247610
APPELLANT NAME: Dallas Burston Property
PROPOSAL : READVERTISEMENT (Revised plans received) Demolition of existing property, proposed new four bedroom dwelling and boathouse.
LOCATION : Gramercy Boathouse Dartmouth TQ6 9BZ
APPEAL STATUS : Appeal Lodged
APPEAL START DATE: 23-April-2020
APPEAL DECISION:
APPEAL DECISION DATE:

APPLICATION NUMBER : **3717/18/CLE** APP/K1128/X/19/3226155
APPELLANT NAME: Mr Benedict Downing
PROPOSAL : Lawful development certificate for use of part of building as single dwellinghouse
LOCATION : Coombe Paddock Coombe House Lane Stoke Gabriel TQ9 6PU
APPEAL STATUS : Appeal decided
APPEAL START DATE: 02-December-2019
APPEAL DECISION: Upheld
APPEAL DECISION DATE: 12-March-2020

Ward Dartmouth and Kingswear

APPLICATION NUMBER : **2731/19/VAR** APP/K1128/W/20/3245718
APPELLANT NAME: Mr Mike Griffiths
PROPOSAL : Variation of condition 2 (approved plans) of planning consent 2191/18/FUL for proposed garage and driveway extension
LOCATION : Moonraker The Keep Gardens Dartmouth Devon TQ6 9JA
APPEAL STATUS :
APPEAL START DATE: 17-February-2020
APPEAL DECISION: Upheld
APPEAL DECISION DATE: 26-June-2020

Ward Eastmoor

APPLICATION NUMBER : **2357/19/PRE** APP/Q1153/D/19/3243332
APPELLANT NAME: Mr & Mrs R & N Backaller
PROPOSAL : Pre-application enquiry for proposed erection of dwelling on grounds.
LOCATION : The Old Vicarage Southford Lane Staverton Devon TQ9 6NZ
APPEAL STATUS : Appeal Lodged
APPEAL START DATE: 04-May-2020
APPEAL DECISION:
APPEAL DECISION DATE:

Ward Ivybridge West

APPLICATION NUMBER : **0035/19/TPO** APP/TPO/K1128/7263
APPELLANT NAME: Mrs Julie Hunt
PROPOSAL : T19: Ash - Crown height reduction by approx 4 to 5m, lateral reduction all round by approx 3m to previous pollarded point, removal of branches overhanging seated garden area, and removal of any dead wood and branches deemed unsafe by tree surgeon
LOCATION : 23 Oaktree Close Ivybridge PL21 9RJ
APPEAL STATUS : Appeal decided
APPEAL START DATE: 18-March-2019
APPEAL DECISION: Split decision
APPEAL DECISION DATE: 07-April-2020

APPLICATION NUMBER : **1559/19/TPO** APP/TPO/K1128/7616
APPELLANT NAME: Bruce Robinson
PROPOSAL : T1-T4: Copper Beech - crown reduction by 2 metres on all sides due to proximity to buildings and other trees. Works will recreate shape of trees and ensure they remain healthy.
LOCATION : 5 The Groves Crescent Road Ivybridge PL21 0SY
APPEAL STATUS : Appeal decided
APPEAL START DATE: 07-October-2019
APPEAL DECISION: Dismissed (Refusal)
APPEAL DECISION DATE: 07-April-2020

Ward Marldon and Littlehempston

APPLICATION NUMBER : **0421/19/FUL** APP/K1128/W/20/3252775
APPELLANT NAME: Mr Steve Porter
PROPOSAL : Change of use of the building known as "The Linhay" from ancillary accommodation into a separate residential dwelling with associated access and residential curtilage.
LOCATION : The Linhay Lower Westerland Barns Westerland Marldon Devon TQ3 1RU
APPEAL STATUS :
APPEAL START DATE: 17-June-2020
APPEAL DECISION:
APPEAL DECISION DATE:

APPLICATION NUMBER : **1548/19/OPA** APP/K1128/W/20/3244829
APPELLANT NAME: Mr D G Webber
PROPOSAL : Outline application (all matters reserved) for construction of a single storey dwelling with garage, and alterations to existing vehicular access
LOCATION : Land adjoining Westerland Dale Westerland Marldon Devon TQ3 1RU
APPEAL STATUS : Appeal decided
APPEAL START DATE: 18-February-2020
APPEAL DECISION: Dismissed (Refusal)
APPEAL DECISION DATE: 26-May-2020

APPLICATION NUMBER : **4086/19/HHO** APP/K1128/D/20/3248098
APPELLANT NAME: Mrs Mountford
PROPOSAL : Householder application for repositioning of existing conservatory, construction of two storey extension and dormer roof extension
LOCATION : The Drey Farthing Lane Westerland Marldon TQ3 1RR
APPEAL STATUS : Appeal Lodged
APPEAL START DATE: 26-May-2020
APPEAL DECISION:
APPEAL DECISION DATE:

Ward Newton and Noss

APPLICATION NUMBER : **3041/18/HHO** APP/K1128/W/20/3245187

APPELLANT NAME: Mr Simon Pratten
PROPOSAL : Householder application for new boathouse (Resubmission of 4421/17/HHO)
LOCATION : Tamarinda 11 Yealm View Road Newton Ferrers Devon PL8 1AN
APPEAL STATUS : Appeal Lodged
APPEAL START DATE: 04-March-2020
APPEAL DECISION:
APPEAL DECISION DATE:

Ward Newton and Yealmpton

APPLICATION NUMBER : **2436/19/FUL** APP/K1128/W/20/3248551
APPELLANT NAME: Mr Andrew Jagoe-Salter
PROPOSAL : New dwelling, associated parking/forecourt and soft landscaping
(resubmission of 3241/18/FUL)
LOCATION : Land South of Lochalsh Lower Court Road Newton Ferrers
APPEAL STATUS : Appeal Lodged
APPEAL START DATE: 13-May-2020
APPEAL DECISION:
APPEAL DECISION DATE:

APPLICATION NUMBER : **2624/19/HHO** APP/K1128/D/19/3242545
APPELLANT NAME: Mr & Mrs N Jones
PROPOSAL : Householder application for replacing existing sheds with parking
space and summer house
LOCATION : The Retreat Riverside Road West Newton Ferrers Devon PL8 1AD
APPEAL STATUS : Appeal decided
APPEAL START DATE: 12-February-2020
APPEAL DECISION: Dismissed (Refusal)
APPEAL DECISION DATE: 06-April-2020

APPLICATION NUMBER : **2758/19/ARM** APP/K1128/W/20/3250847
APPELLANT NAME: Pearce Fine HOmes
PROPOSAL : Approval of reserved matters following outline approval 3139/16/OPA for erection of
12no. dwellings to include 6no. affordable units for the over 55s
LOCATION : Land Adjacent to Parsonage Farm Newton Ferrers PL8 1AT
APPEAL STATUS : Appeal Lodged
APPEAL START DATE: 17-June-2020
APPEAL DECISION:
APPEAL DECISION DATE:

Ward Salcombe and Thurlestone

APPLICATION NUMBER : **0550/19/FUL** APP/K1128/C/19/3228354
APPELLANT NAME: Yellow Bridge Developments Ltd
PROPOSAL : Retrospective application for a change of use from residential/office/storage to
residential at first floor
LOCATION : Creek House Island Street Salcombe TQ8 8DP
APPEAL STATUS : Appeal decided
APPEAL START DATE: 02-December-2019
APPEAL DECISION: Dismissed (Refusal)
APPEAL DECISION DATE: 31-March-2020

APPLICATION NUMBER : **3678/19/HHO** APP/K1128/D/20/3246818
APPELLANT NAME: Mrs Carolyn Hovey
PROPOSAL : Householder application for installation of 2 roof dormers
LOCATION : 1 Strand Court Fore Street Salcombe TQ8 8ET
APPEAL STATUS : Appeal Lodged
APPEAL START DATE: 23-April-2020
APPEAL DECISION:
APPEAL DECISION DATE:

APPLICATION NUMBER : **4015/18/FUL** APP/K1128/W/19/3243018
APPELLANT NAME: Salcombe Park Ltd
PROPOSAL : READVERTISEMENT (Revised plans received) Proposal for 23 new holiday lodges with
associated bases, parking and internal access road
LOCATION : Salcombe Retreat Lane To Soar Mill Motel Malborough Devon TQ7 3DS
APPEAL STATUS :
APPEAL START DATE: 17-February-2020

APPEAL DECISION: Dismissed (Refusal)
APPEAL DECISION DATE: 26-June-2020

APPLICATION NUMBER : **4152/18/FUL** APP/K1128/W/19/3240806
APPELLANT NAME: Mr & Mrs Trevor and Pauline Rendle
PROPOSAL : Erection of 3 dwellings
LOCATION : Land at The Holt Bolberry Road Hope Cove TQ7 3HT
APPEAL STATUS : Appeal decided
APPEAL START DATE: 17-December-2019
APPEAL DECISION: Dismissed (Refusal)
APPEAL DECISION DATE: 23-March-2020

Ward South Brent

APPLICATION NUMBER : **2817/19/FUL** APP/K1128/W/19/3242849
APPELLANT NAME: Mr Jeremy Burgess
PROPOSAL : New dwelling (resubmission of 1270/19/FUL)
LOCATION : Wagland Farm Halwell TQ9 7LB
APPEAL STATUS : Appeal decided
APPEAL START DATE: 30-December-2019
APPEAL DECISION: Dismissed (Refusal)
APPEAL DECISION DATE: 05-March-2020

Ward Wembury and Brixton

APPLICATION NUMBER : **3403/19/HHO** APP/K1128/D/20/3250577
APPELLANT NAME: Mr Justin Withey
PROPOSAL : Householder application for first floor extension, single storey rear extension at LGF level, infill of swimming pool and construction of detached garage.
LOCATION : Sodem Hall Wembury Road Wembury PL9 0DQ
APPEAL STATUS : Appeal Lodged
APPEAL START DATE: 11-June-2020
APPEAL DECISION:
APPEAL DECISION DATE:
